

response - Feedback on J. William Fulbright and Charles Brough's Presence on the University of Arkansas Campus - Affiliation - answer - label	response - Feedback on J. William Fulbright and Charles Brough's Presence on the University of Arkansas Campus - How has J. William Fulbright,Âs legacy impacted you? - answer	response - Feedback on J. William Fulbright and Charles Brough's Presence on the University of Arkansas Campus - What are your thoughts on J. William Fulbright,Âs presence on the U of A campus? - answer	response - Feedback on J. William Fulbright and Charles Brough's Presence on the University of Arkansas Campus - What are your thoughts on Charles Brough,Âs presence on the U of A campus? - answer	response - Feedback on J. William Fulbright and Charles Brough's Presence on the University of Arkansas Campus - Additional comments - answer
Student	J. William Fulbright was a man of privilege and benefitted personal from catering to racists. His legacy impacts me because his choices have affected the lives of my parents and grandparents. Every year he spent currying favor with segregationists was matched by my family having to live through subhuman conditions and gross discrimination because of their skin. That affects me because when my family goes to the U of A to see my name in the sidewalk, they will be on the campus of a school that one point forced black students to have class in basements and still houses the name and statue of a racist.	<p>This about 3 legacies. The U of A, Black Students, and Men like Fulbright and Brough.</p> <p>If the U of A us willing to keep those Men present on campus, then it further sullies its legacy, while disregarding Black Students, and Championing racists.</p> <p>If the U of A chooses to teach each of its students about Arkansas,Â history, while removing any effort to memorialize those Men, the U of A would be taking a step to repair its legacy while finally respecting Black Students.</p> <p>My thoughts are that how the U of A handles the presence of Fulbright and Brough will show exactly what matters to the school the most.</p>		<p>During civil rights in the 1960s, it was unpopular to voice support for people of color. People did not all love Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. when he organized and marched for the advancement of Black people through the support and empathy of our fellow countrymen.</p> <p>It is now in 2020 that we remember him as one of the greatest Americans to have lived and died for this country.</p> <p>We can,Ât wait to be loved for the choices that we make, but if we follow the blueprint of people like Dr. King, we will be remembered as something more. The U of A can,Ât wait to make everyone happy. It must simply do the right thing.</p>
Staff	No impact personally, but have observed the great impact he has had on many students.	<p>Fulbright's introduction to the bill in the U.S. Congress that called for the use of proceeds from the sales of surplus war property to fund the promotion of international good will through the exchange of students in the fields of education, culture and science alone is enough to recognize his presence on our campus. It is the most widely recognized and prestigious international exchange program in the world. Many of our students have benefited from this.</p> <p>During Brough's tenure as governor, a state reformatory for women was founded, a girl,Âs industrial school was established, and an illiteracy commission was created. Under Gov. Brough, progressive legislation was enacted including more money for education.</p> <p>Both men contributed to many successes for the state and higher education.</p>		My recommendation at this time would be to leave the names as is, and keep the statue.
Student	n/a	Historically indicative of the UA's culture and stance on D&I. Currently indicative of UA's future culture and stance on D&I.		<p>If it's "not such a big deal", then why has the negative part of their legacy been suppressed?</p> <p>How should black students feel about the statue?</p>

				Why does UA lack diversity?
Alumni	During my time at The U of A, the ,Áúair,Àù was different, but it doesn,Àôt take away Senator Fulbright,Àôs actions, lack of necessary action and more aggressive and assertive steps towards equality when he had the opportunity to do so. This has been tremendously eye opening for me and has given me much to think about as I sit on this committee.	I don,Àôt think we should champion oppressors. While I understand the historical context, the family ties and everything in between that is involved here, I think it,Àôs quite hypocritical to teach a mission and a message of equality when neither of them faired on that side 100% of the time ESPECIALLY when they could have made a difference.		I appreciate this opportunity as I continue to listen to the concerns of our students and our Razorback community who honestly shouldn,Àôt be in this situation.
Student	It has not. I would not have known anything about his legacy if it were not for the recent attention. Looking at it now, I do find it troubling that this was overlooked and the university decided, whenever they did, to honor him in such a way.	<p>I think the statue should either stay where it is and be recontextualized, or moved somewhere else where it can be observed in a different manner. Regardless of where it sits, his legacy, entire legacy both good and bad, should be known. I definitely do not want the statue to be destroyed or removed completely, I think this could come across as a hateful message. While I am not an expert on Senator Fulbright, I know he had plenty of positive impact as well. I think there is a way to observe both the good and bad impacts he made in a historical, educational context.</p> <p>The college-I am not opposed to changing the name, but not under the impression it HAS to be changed. I do not wish to make further comment on this.</p>	I had not known about him until right now, and from a brief search, I can say that I am very pleased with his namesake having a place on this campus. I understand the controversy with the Elaine riots, however it does not appear to me that the disproportionate killing of black residents was his intention in any way. As a white person, I recognize that this may be an insensitive perspective, and also acknowledge that my information on Brough is not thorough. Governor Brough was a champion of women's rights and education. I think that does, and should, have a place at our campus. I think the clear positive impacts he has had outweigh the controversy around him.	
Student	Positively. He was a great Senator for Arkansas	We should keep it. Despite certain actions of his I don,Àôt approve of, he still left a great legacy and we should be proud that he was a U of A graduate.	Overall, it,Àôs a good thing to honor the positive contributions of a person	Let,Àôs not rewrite history. We can admit someone was flawed and still appreciate their accomplishments. They stand as inspiration to others that it,Àôs possible for a student at the U of A to make a lasting impact on their community.
Student	It is a huge name across the country and also across the globe. But after reading more about him, it makes me feel like the name of a great initiative (Fulbright Program) and a good college (Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences) is after someone who stood for extreme racism and segregation. Being a person of color, this makes me feel extremely sad and I am offended that the name of a person who	I think that the University of Arkansas should not affiliate itself with a person whose values were against basic human decency and supported something which is a curse to the humankind. There have been a lot of people at the University of Arkansas who can replace Fulbright. These people have made the U of A and Arkansas proud without supporting segregation. The University of Arkansas should remove the statue, rename the college, and just like its being done with the confederate leaders across the country, we	I would definitely not want to see the name of a person who called on a systematic racist killing to be associated with my Alma Mater. We should definitely rename Brough commons to someone who has made the U of A proud.	

	supported one of the worst things humankind has faced is used proudly.	should forget everything about someone who we should not be proud of and make the U of A a better place for everyone.		
Faculty	None at all. I knew about him from his scholarships and name on campus, but the recent events were the first time I ever heard of his legacy as a segregationist.	Unfortunately, J William Fulbright can't defend his stance in modern-day. The removal of the statue is warranted, as we can remember his without idolizing him. I am interested in what his family has to say about his legacy. Obviously, he did great things for some people, or else he wouldn't have all of this in the first place. Is his family willing to make an honest statement and apologize for his comments? Will his family donate money, time, and opportunity to change Arkansas regarding access and racial disparities? If yes, maybe you can have a greater conversation about keeping the name if the black community supports it. If no, then you must change the name and move on from his legacy. I'm sure there are less prominent ways you can remember his whole life (good in bad) in a way that does not advertise and idolize him.	I had no idea who Charles Brough was. But if there is evidence that he marginalized a group of people, then yes, the name should be removed. Again, he is not here to defend his previous comments, and if his views have changed. But in the end, his name has been associated with these buildings for some time, and it's time to move on and highlight another individual who has done great change for the state of Arkansas in terms of moving us forward.	In the end, maybe the people we choose to name our buildings and colleges after will be a bad decision 50-75 years from now. But all I ask is that the committee make the best decision they can with the available information. I think there is enough information to show we can move on from these names at the forefront of our University. It's time to move on, move forward.
Student	I'm in the Fulbright college and thankful for his money and resources.	<p>I think Fulbright is a symbol of how people could change. First of all, it is ridiculous to judge people retroactively by 2020 standards. But if you want to do that, judge them for their whole career. Yes, he was against Brown v. Board of Education and opposed the 1965 voting rights act. However, people miss that he changed. He later voted to extend the voting rights act for an additional five years. He created the Fulbright scholars program because he realized how much learning other cultures can change your views. I think showing how someone in the South can change their mind from being racist to accepting is a good message to support. If you take him down, why should anyone racist bother changing who they are because they will always be viewed racist their entire life. His program is in 155 countries, but people only focus on his early mistakes.</p> <p>I think if you are going to to get rid of anyone's name or likeness, then you'd need to return their</p>	Considering he passed measures that allowed women to vote, I would say that it's good to keep him.	I think if y'all put in as much effort in fostering diversity on campus or taking care of minority students on campus as you do about getting rid of statues, then this would be a better campus to be a part of. Additionally, there is no one that is perfect enough that will keep people from getting mad. There's even controversy over Ghandi, so we have to decide does their good outweigh their bad? In both instances, I think yes.

		<p>money too. It's not very "woke" of you to say we will get rid of them but keep their money. If you deem them unworthy of being on campus, then you need to deem all of it unworthy. Additionally, you would need to get rid of the peace fountain as it was dedicated to Fulbright.</p>		
Community member	No direct impact as I,Ãm new to the community.	<p>Teach the full history of why Fulbright was given such a high honor and prominence on campus. What did he do, give, or sacrifice that UARK students, faculty, alumni and the community continue to benefit from and should appreciate? Don,Ãt erase history, but learn from it. Understand why your peers in generations past sought to honor the man in such a way. After all, isn,Ãt that the same treatment we,Ãd all like to receive from our peers coming generations after us?</p>	Same thoughts as given re: Fulbright.	Given the vile acts, shame and impeachment Bill Clinton brought to the Office of President of the United States, UARK should also consider removing his name from places or positions of honor across the UARK system.
Student	Not at all and I can't believe there is actually a committee for this. It sounds like a big waste of time and money. Congrats on not doing shit.			
Staff	I had a favorable opinion of him until I learned that he did not work to advance civil rights.	Although he did some good things, I don't feel that we need to see his face on campus any longer. There are many others who made great contributions to the University of Arkansas who don't have a statue. I also feel that the college where I work can remain just the College of Arts and Sciences, or if a person can be found who was formative or a great contributor to the college with a stellar record, it could be renamed for that person.	He is another example of a person who did some good things, but his reputation was soiled when he called in federal troops and participated in the Elaine race riots of 1919. The Commons can do without his name, or if another great contributor to the U of A can be found (and I mean in terms of achievements, not money) then it can be replaced with the new person's name.	

Faculty	I knew nothing about him prior to moving here in 2005, other than several of my friends benefited from Fulbright scholarships years ago.	I read with interest the link provided in your article. My first thought after reading it was, „ÚWell, of course he supported cultural exchange. For white people.,À So those are my thoughts on Fulbright. You could just put this as an addendum to everything you publish on him,Äî,ÄúHe was a great guy, as long as you were white.,Äù	I think you should have to live with your shame and be forever known as the people who named a building after the supervisor and organizer of a mass murder.	Stop naming buildings after people.
Student	I don,Äôt know that I,Äôve been personally impacted by his legacy all that much. While his name is familiar, that,Äôs about it.	I think the statue needs to be recontextualized at the very least. The statues and the college give his name significant prominence on campus. People should at least be made aware of all of his views. I think I,Äôd rather see the college renamed and the statue taken down, however.	Similar thoughts here. I think removing his name makes sense, but at the very least it should be contextualized.	
Alumni	I was incredibly fortunate to receive a Fulbright Grant but I was extremely disappointed to realize the University, the college, and everyone at the Fulbright Institute kept his racism hidden.	While I appreciate his efforts in international understanding, I do not believe his full life merits such an honor as to be the head of such a key college. Nor do I believe that is the message we are trying to send as university. Further, this is a wonderful opportunity to rethink how we place our values in these honors and find one of the many outstanding, more diverse change makers who attended the U of A. It should not always be about who gives you the most money.	I am saddened to see there,Äôs no information on him in your news article - if he is also Included here then he must also have problematic stances on basic human dignities, so why are you trying to hide that by not linking to information where people can read about him before filling this out? I think we should own all of the problems on campus. I also think not every building needs to be named for a White male. I further believe many of our buildings named to be named to reflect a diverse population we hope to have come to campus. I can only imagine how important it is for students to walk into a building so central as one of our main cafeterias and recognize themselves in the person who for whom the building is named.	
Staff	At the time, I was not aware of the more tarnished side of Senator Fublright's legacy, seeing him as a role model and respectable person in the field before. While I still admire him somewhat, I can't say he is the same role model I envisioned.	In my opinion, an additional placard detailing the history of the controversy of Fulbright should be put next to his statue. A class in Fulbright's legacy should also be covered and the college's mission statement should acknowledge the steps and challenges of going above the senator's legacy; as people have their faults, it's important to overcome for the sake of helping others.	I believe a placard should also be put there, along with some sort of mural of the space to celebrate and morn the lives of those killed in Elaine massacre; but there should be some serious considerations of the designs in either case. I believe that history is something that should be remembered and learned upon, like all those deaths are made more mute if they're forgotten to history.	I admire the University's action toward building an anti-racist campus; which I believe is one that confronts racist rhetoric head-on and in the public eye as an opportunity to teach about doing the right thing through the bad and never forgetting the sacrifices of those who fought to protect their freedoms.
Student	I am ashamed to be in the presence of a legacy that promotes racist behavior.	The statue should be removed and the name of the college should be changed. I understand both may be tied to funding, and I also understand that Fulbright was chosen because of his positive international work. But that does not outweigh his racist actions. The contradiction does not make it okay. There are plenty of names that	I also was not aware of this legacy. I also think this name should be changed. I also believe this would probably be easier to implement. But both should still happen.	I was not aware of either legacy. Their legacies need to be addressed more thoroughly in the future, even after names are changed and statues are removed. It is a trend to talk about these issues now. That trend should remain forever.

		could be used instead that would not have any negative associations at all.		
Student	I am a member of the Cell and Molecular Biology program which is one of the most diverse on campus. I work with, have class with, or discussion with people from all around the world and all walks of life in my program and I believe that wouldn't be the case here in northwest Arkansas without J. William Fulbright and his work not only in government, but on campus.	When I clicked the link to learn more about Fulbright, it was unbiased and honest in its rendition of him and his history. There is no one on this earth who is perfect and it is foolish to expect every figure in history to uphold modern standards of morality or virtue. I think his history shows the progress one can make when the foundation is laid. He laid the foundation for international relations in government and in university and for that I appreciate his presence. We are better now than he was then, but that's the story we get to tell because of his history. We get to say, "Here is a man who laid a foundation. We saw the weaknesses and made them strengths in our own time. We will never stop improving, no matter who are leaders are today." In my mind, there will be a time in the future that someone looks back on our campus leaders now and has issue with something they did or did not do, but I believe in the U of A and I believe when that time comes, they will have fixed it on their own already and continue telling the story of how regardless of individuals, the University improves.	I think Charles Brough did wonders in his career as a politician. However, his connection to the University and why his presence should be more evident here is something i do not understand. If it is to replace Fulbright with Brough, I disagree because Fulbright has direct connection and work with the university that I believe earns his presence here. If it is simply to add Brough as a figure on campus then I agree and feel he deserves it too because of his work for women in Arkansas. There is no harm in adding people form Arkansas history that we feel deserve a place on campus, but, for the reasons stated above, I believe Fulbright deserves his place too.	
Student	It has not	I think it's shameful that we give such prominent place to a proud segregationist, especially given that many of our Black community members have asked this to be changed. I think the statue should be removed and the college renamed.	Charles Brough presided over a massacre of Black Arkansans and it's shocking that his name holds a place of honor at the University. His name should definitely be removed.	
Alumni		<p>I think if his presence makes any current students feel alienated or uncomfortable, then the statue should be removed immediately. This is a simple and easy action to take in order to make all students feel a bit more comfortable and welcome on campus.</p> <p>Regarding renaming: is the college named for him because he donated money to build it? And if so, does that money provide scholarships to all students that are welcome at U of A? If it does, then I think his name should stay. If it does not, perhaps that money should be used to fund</p>	Did he provide money to the school to build the Commons? If so, that money helped provide a service to all students at the U of A, and then his name should stay. If he did not donate money to create that building, then it should be renamed.	

		<p>scholarships to for a particular student base who feel alienated and unwelcome due to his presence. If he did not the donate money to build that college, then his name should be removed and the college should be renamed.</p>		
Faculty	<p>Having been awarded a Fulbright scholarship in 1966, I spent 18 months in Germany researching my doctoral dissertation as a Graduate Fellow. Without the Fulbright award I would have been unable to undertake research in German archives, both West and East. I also became close friends with a number of Germans with whom I remain in touch. The experience helped me enormously in understanding German politics, culture, and the attitudes of ordinary people.</p>	<p>Senator Fulbright had an enormous impact on US foreiegn politics, not only in government but through the Fulbright program of which he was extremely proud. Frankly, I never considered him a racist. While it is true he signed the Southern Manifesto, his attitudes changed over the years. For example, he never expressed the sort of racist views expressed openly by the hero of Watergate, Sam Irwin.</p>	<p>I know nothing about Charles Brough</p>	<p>Keep the Fulbright College and Fulbright's statue. To change the name and remove the statue would have disastrous consequences,</p>
Faculty		<p>Keep it where it is.</p>	<p>Keep it.</p>	<p>People are good and bad; don't whitewash history</p>
Faculty	<p>This is almost certainly an inappropriate over reaction. Yes, he had some retrograde views. Do they cancel out his legacy writ large? I doubt it. There is much to honor. Me personally? Not much.</p>	<p>Too much of what is going on now is shameless pandering. The George Floyd episode was ultimately intolerable. That said, the Washington Post has documented that of the thousand plus police caused deaths in the nation of late the proportion of black deaths has been about what one would expect given population data and a substantial majority have been white. It's a police problem, not a black problem, but no on wants to talk about that. Until we have an open and honest dialogue where people can say this without fear of being branded a racist I refuse to accept that the process/dialogue is either open or honest. Based on what I have heard to date, the statue and name should stay,</p>	<p>I don't have any have not thought about him</p>	<p>If and when there are public hearings on this I may well speak for attribution. In the interim I have grave concerns and don't much trust the process.</p>

Staff	<p>It is part of the rhetoric that established the area studies program I currently work for, but the support for area studies by the college is sorely lacking. Senator Fulbright was also not a regular part of my curriculum as a U of A undergraduate, despite majoring in International Relations (now International Studies) and I was surprised to learn about his unfortunate domestic voting record as I only ever recall him vaguely referenced as a 'champion of international relations'.</p>	<p>Frankly, I think our campus should stop naming things meant to endure after people. Social norms change and what is laudable or acceptable at the time of establishment may not always meet those standards. While I do understand that a person's actions can be both positive and negative and that one side can't necessarily obscure the other without losing context, I don't think that charitable donations or institutional inertia is a good reason to not make significant changes. If the statue's presence evokes similar feelings to confederate statues outside of courthouses, it should be removed, no excuses or qualifications. It could be relocated elsewhere if necessary, but there's no reason it should be placed in a position as essentially a gatekeeper to the most iconic and well-known building on our campus (that additionally is used in all of our official branding), frequented by thousands of students every day.</p> <p>While I would not object to the college of arts and sciences being renamed, I do understand that a step of that nature is much more difficult to action than the removal of a statue. If the college is going to keep its moniker, however, I would like to see more of Senator Fulbright's positive values actually be supported in a noticeable, measurable way. Currently only two area studies programs have dedicated office space and staff - all other programs are run out of faculty offices with borrowed administrative assistance from elsewhere in the college. Until recently, this was the situation with the International and Global Studies program as well. These programs are also not featured prominently on the college's webpage; the easiest place to location information on these programs is buried in a tab under Majors and Programs alongside every other major in the college, with no specific context.</p> <p>Additionally, if the college was to keep its name, I think that it's incredibly important to frankly, consistently, and publicly discuss both sides of Senator Fulbright's legacy. There is an annual celebration of Fulbright's birthday that is widely publicized, so it would be simple to arrange at</p>	<p>Remove.</p>	
-------	---	---	----------------	--

		least an annual lecture on the implications of his legacy.		
Student		Fulbright should be erased from this campus in its entirety. His mother's presence can stay but J. William Fulbright is a disgrace. Why would I (a white female) sit here and defend some dead racist white man who sits in a place of honor outside the most iconic building in the state of Arkansas? If even one black student feels unsafe at this school because of Fulbright, then it should be removed. Hate has no place on the Hill. Remove the statue. Don't sacrifice the wellbeing/peace of mind of those in the present for a man of the past.	I did not previously know much about Brough. After reading about him just now, he should be removed from this campus. Read my fulbright response for my reasoning.	

Faculty	Fulbright was committed to cross-cultural understanding. Many faculty and students on this campus have benefitted from Fulbright awards. Yes, he has some skeletons in the closet, but don't we all?	I am fine with his presence on campus. I think you all should think long and hard about censorship, blacklisting, and allowing self-righteous moral hall monitors on this campus to have power. Why? Because it will not stop at a statue (which frankly I could take or leave). Next it will be books, faculty, re-education workshops, and a new form of Mccarthyism that will ultimately undermine the the good work people are doing to achieve equity on this campus. Further, removing statues will never kill the racist/sexist underbelly of this campus. Representation or lack of it is a superficial coating to hide the stink of the real problem. Instead of spending time and precious money on this, put those dollars toward scholarships for underrepresented students, services, TA stipends, staff salaries, and fixing the clear inequity in faculty salaries. Fix the real problems.	I have no idea (or care to know) who this is. My guess is most people could not care less. Again, sugar-coating the real problem by focusing on superficial nonsense and taking historical figures out of context.	
Faculty	I am a native of Arkansas and a graduate of the UofA as well as a faculty member. Growing up I saw Fulbright as a positive leader, someone who represented the quest for education and international understanding. In a state with so much negative press (I'm thinking Little Rock integration and Gov. Faubus) and a reputation for poor education, it was nice to have someone with positive attributes as a symbol of my College. I was able to be ignorant of his Civil Rights era voting record, and more aware of his opposition to the Vietnam War.	Times have changed in the last 30 years, and I'm happy to change with the times. I think my College should as well. Certainly his legacy should be more fully fleshed out, putting his international education reputation in perspective given his poor record on speaking out and working for understanding and education in his own state, for all its peoples. It was too easy for powerful white people to ignore the plight of African Americans that they should have been working for. I would like to see more of those stories, of people who were working for those rights. I would like to know and celebrate those figures. I don't have a problem with the statue being where it is, but I might like to see a statue garden, that contained a few other people in our history, including champions of education and civil rights from across the state. And I would like to see him removed from his "pedestal". As for the name of the College, that would be harder to give up. I like our emphasis on international education, but if the committee decides to change it, I will support that decision fully.	I ate in Brough for a number of years and was blissfully unaware of the figure it was named for. I say we should take the opportunity to erase his name and replace it with someone who was a true champion of the people of Arkansas.	Thank you for taking this seriously and listening to our students. As our flagship University, we owe it to all of our citizens to be a welcoming place and to present our history honestly so that we can continue to make progress.
Faculty	i was personally honored to receive a Fulbright scholarship to teach in Romania	i think his presence is warranted. Like all humans he had his shortcomings but he accomplished and stood for much	positive - he supported women's suffrage and anti-lynching laws. name is ok	

Staff		When did our society become so sensitive? A group of educated people made the decision to honor Senator Fulbright by naming the college after him and putting up a statue. Nothing about him has changed since that decision was made so why now? You don't have to agree with every view he ever had to honor the good things he did. Our society is listening to the pressure of a few individuals that have their feelings hurt over things that don't affect them. I will be very disappointed in the leadership of the University if the college name is changed or his statue removed. Things like this make working here extremely difficult.	You should leave his name where it is.	I am disappointed that the place I have poured my soul into for the past 20 years as a student and employee, is even having this conversation.
Staff	The only knowledge I have of Fulbright is the association with the Fulbright award which is positive and wonderful and allows awardees to achieve goals that might not otherwise be possible.	The association with the Fulbright scholarship is a positive.		
Faculty	We still have recently had Fulbright scholars on campus. I worked directly with a scholar from the Middle East not long ago. She was so thankful for the Fulbright scholarship. I think the scholarship is positive and I believe that is what so many think of when they think of the name Fulbright. I don't really care about the statue. I don't find it offensive, what is in the past is in the past, but if that helps people feel better then take it away. However, I don't think we should remove our association with the name entirely due to such positive views about the scholarship from people all over the world.		I'm fine with renaming Brough Commons.	
Student	I am a political science and history major so I have attended the University of Arkansas and my classes reside within the Fulbright College of Arts & Sciences. Fulbright's legacy permeates Arkansas, and he helped and supported the University in Fayetteville and higher education throughout his life.	I think the campus should better contextualize Fulbright and his historical views if the University decides not to remove his presence on campus. Offer some sort of acknowledgement of his political and ethical views on race, class, and gender.	Brough should be removed in all capacities. Charles Brough was a segregationist and racist governor of Arkansas and represents those notions every day his name is present on campus. If there is ANY reference to Brough remaining, it should be contextualized. The dining hall needs to be renamed.	The university should also look to recontextualize the legacy of Silas Hunt. Possibly offer more of an individual story of him and his fight for equality along with the other Black students who were also admitted to the University of Arkansas. Rather than constantly patting itself on the back for admitting a Black American, the University should acknowledge that Hunt's admission was still too late and that it is important to continue the fight for equality and representation on college campuses.
Staff	As a white southerner, his legacy has been used to demonize my race and my state.	The statue should stay, and have an update reflecting the good he did for the Arkansas	His name should remain, with context on his contributions to the Arkansas community as a whole.	

		community and why he earned recognition in the first place.		
Alumni	I am a graduate of the J. William Fulbright College of Arts & Sciences. I,Ãm a current applicant for a Fulbright Scholarship as well. His commitment to peace through education was a guiding force throughout my college career.	We love to celebrate Senator Fulbright,Ãs internationalist side, but little is discussed of his political commitment to white supremacy. At best he was complicit in the degradation of black Americans, and at worst, willingly participatory. Senator Fulbright signed the southern manifesto committing to segregation, while two senators from neighboring Tennessee did not sign the pact. This legacy cannot be overlooked. The university must do more to contextualize the history of senator Fulbright. I would advocate for keeping the college name and moving the statue to a different location. I think further commemorating Silas Hunt with a statue at that location would be appropriate.	Governor Brough was a key figure in the post-Elaine Massacre cover-up. Nobody cares that a dining hall is named for him - so we should easily be able to change the name to someone else. Remove Charles Brough,Ãs name.	
Faculty	Indirectly as a faculty member but very positively with Fulbrights national & international standing.	The name and statue should remain. It is clear that any segregationist view was due to his duties to represent his constituents at the time. It is also clear that this was not his personal view, especially later in life and through his actions. The good that he did for the state of Arkansas and the University of Arkansas far out-weigh anything else that is being reinterpreted to suit today's political climate.	I don't know Brough's history and can not comment.	I am glad this is being studied and that there hasn't been a knee-jerk reaction to these issues. They are real issues and deserve to be investigated in a pragmatic and thoughtful way.
Staff				I feel that if there are entire groups of our campus body who have issues with the legacy and presence of these individuals on campus, then we need to remove their names and likeness from our campus. To be inclusive means to make everyone feel included. To argue that these individuals should remain a heralded part of our UA legacy simply because I, as a middle-class white female, was never impacted by their presence is the same logic that has kept this festering racial wound unhealed. Time to heal.
Faculty	I can't say that Fulbright's legacy has directly impacted me, except that it could have prevented me from growing up in a more and better integrated Arkansas. I need to learn more in order to understand this point.	I suggest the statue be recontextualized and that the University be open about the criticisms and successes of the Senator.	I believe that Brough does not deserve veneration on the campus or in our state. After reading the history surrounding the Elaine Massacre and his role, I am certain that he is not the type of person that should be celebrated at the University. A Brough quote: " It is highly imperative that both races live in harmony but it can never be disputed that all ideas of complete equality must be permanently dismissed and that the white race must ever be supreme." This man was in power in part because he was white. What about venerating someone like Scipio Jones, who should	

			<p>have attended the University of Arkansas Law School had they not denied him because of his race. Despite his not being trained formally, his work in the legal profession freed many wrongly accused men, and the Supreme Court case that he organized set the legal groundwork for the coming Civil Rights decisions. Please lift up outstanding Arkansans like Jones instead of people like Brough.</p>	
Student	<p>Pretty negatively, I am embarrassed to say I am a student in the J. William Fulbright college. It's disgusting to me to be associated with this college name and that the university has failed to do anything to change it up until this point.</p>	<p>Both the name and statue should be removed immediately. Keeping his name attached to this school while knowing his racist history is a huge slap in the face to black and minority students. Anything other than removal is the wrong answer.</p>	<p>Remove his name. He may have been a part of our history but racism has no place on this campus. His legacy should not be associated with the university.</p>	
Staff	<p>International education has been greatly influenced by U.S. Sen J. William Fulbright. His name is highly recognized and esteemed at both a national and international level. I believe the University of Arkansas has strong international partnerships as a result of the Fulbright Program. Let's keep the strong ties and commitment to the exchange of students.</p>	<p>While it's important to acknowledge his achievements, we must not overlook his transgressions. It would be a symbolic gesture for the University of Arkansas relocate his statue to a museum, on campus, where future generations would learn from his history- both achievements and flaws. I would not change the name of the Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences, rather I would share more context of Fulbright's history.</p> <p>University relations has a great timeline- History of the University of Arkansas. Please create Landmark at the U of A, similar to the Landmarks at UT Austin, with a collection, timeline for important statues on campus. The timeline can outline important dates in the story of an important figure, their location on campus and/or relocation site.</p>	<p>I have the same thoughts on all figures-both good and bad. We should acknowledge their history. A good start would be to relocate any figures that no longer serve us or our future generations well. They don't have to go away but can be relocated to a U of A museum or an outdoor park where all status reside.</p>	<p>Thank you for this opportunity to provide our feedback! It's time to be bold and make bold (sensible) decisions.</p>
Student	<p>Personally, I feel it has not impacted me, but it has impacted my peers. Fellow Black students of America. It,Âs rather unfortunate that getting rid of a racist school name is up for debate.</p>	<p>I think he should no longer have a presence on campus.</p>	<p>I haven,Ât heard about Brough yet.</p>	<p>Do what,Âs right.</p>
Community member		<p>You can not erase history. It happened, we should learn from it. Keep them up on campus!</p>		
Alumni	<p>His legacy has not negatively impacted me.</p>	<p>I think it,Âs absolutely fine. I wouldn,Ât change a thing.</p>	<p>Keep the man,Âs name.</p>	<p>This is absolutely silly. I guess since everyone is out with the covid we have time to focus on these ,Âsocial justice,Â issues. Give me a break. Myself and other alumni are tired of this ,Âwoke,Â nonsense.</p>

Faculty	It hasn't, really	I never thought he should have a presence on campus, ever since I started working here 10 years ago. I believe his statue should be removed and his name stripped from the College of Arts & Sciences.	I don't know enough about him to give an opinion.	
Student	It has not	By continuing to support him, we are supporting his agendas, which is unacceptable in this day and age. I believe the liberal arts college should be renamed and the statue removed	The same idea as with Fulbright. To support him is to support his ideas. As such, his name should be removed from the dining hall.	
Student	No aspect of the Fulbright legacy has directly affected me. I studied mainly within the engineering and business colleges.	<p>I think the statue should be removed and the college should be renamed. I, personally, feel that the Fulbright situation is only slightly better than the Southside Rebel (my high school in fort smith's old mascot) situation which was only recently fixed.</p> <p>If we want to support our POC students then we need to do that in every aspect of our lives/work. Keeping the name feels like an attempt to gain clout knowing that it has deeper implications for our black students, alumni, faculty, and staff</p>	Obviously, he had a strong legacy for women in Arkansas but to ignore his part in race riots and the deaths of hundreds of black Arkansans is doing us all a disservice. I believe that we can acknowledge a person's historical contributions without also naming buildings after them. Let's get some representation for those groups that haven't been recognized rather than just another half dozen white men.	
Student	The legacy he leaves behind, especially for my college, is a racist and discriminatory one. I personally do not want my college of arts and sciences to be named after someone who belittled people of color and had major prejudice toward them.	I believe the presence of any racist statue or figure on any campus should be condemned and removed immediately despite any other accomplishments made by that figure. We as a university should re-evaluate why we chose the people we chose to represent our entire student and faculty body.	I believe the names of these racists represents white supremacy and should immediately be removed from campus. It's 2020, we need to start acting directly against racism and discrimination because if we don't, we will continue to be viewed as a university that celebrates these radicals and has prejudice against people of color.	
Alumni	To me, Fulbright is synonymous with the college. When I hear the name Fulbright, I attribute it to the name to the college.	All humans are fallible. If you remove one statute of an imperfect person, what prevents you from removing them all? I think teaching students about Fulbright, and Brough, would be beneficial. Erasing names from buildings does not erase history. As The University of Arkansas, don't run from cruel and unjust parts of Arkansas history. Teach students this history so they will not repeat the sins of the past.		
Student	It hasn't	This is literally cancel culture but on dead historical figures. They are dead. They can't apologize. I'm sure if they were alive they would. Removing names and statues won't fix the past. It further enforces the idea that ,úyou can't make any mistakes ever or you're a disgrace to humanity,ù. Fame shouldn't mean you're expected to be perfect	Same as what I said above	Removing figures from the confederate forces (like what happened in bentonville) is understandable. This isn't the same as that. Their goal was not to do harm. Unlike the confederacy

Student	It hasn't	It's a cool statue no reason to take it down..	It's dope	Don't take a statue down just because liberals pressure you to.
Student		I think the statue should stay up because it is such an important part of our history and his past political beliefs do not represent this school		
Student	Personally, I was shocked and extremely disappointed when I learned of his racist history.	I think the statue should be removed. Due to his actions towards minorities while he held a position of power, this could be seen as a oppressive symbol to people more directly affected. As such, it is a blight on the U of A and shows that we are not committed to accepting all people. I believe the statue should be removed & stored in the museum, not destroyed. I believe the school should change its name and honor an important person of color.	Remove. He helped commit atrocities against POC, he should in no way be memorialized.	<p>I think these changes are going to hurt people deeply, insult who they see themselves as, & cause a lot of distress to people who, while they may not be racist, connect with the positive things these men have done. However, these things were done during an era which humanity would do well to mature out of. The acts of removing them would be a large leap towards doing just that.</p> <p>I would prefer that this university be one of inclusion, allowing people the freedom to explore knowledge and grow their mind, rather than one where people who are not exactly the same as the majority feel unease, in any amount, because of their differences.</p>
Alumni	In the most positive sense.	It is a great honor to have Fulbright represented on campus.	His legacy is well represented.	The review of these two figures is insane. I've never been more ashamed of the U of A. This political atmosphere has turned logic into a witch hunt for anyone who doesn't represent a certain agenda.
Alumni		Leave it	Leave it	
Student	I'm a recipient of the Fulbright scholarship.	I did not know about Senator Fulbright's segregationist history before coming to the U of A. I believe that while Sen. Fulbright has done a lot of good through fostering international education and student mobility through the scholarship that I've also benefited from, his presence on campus is disrespectful to IPOC students at the U of A. The past is complicated, and it is filled with uncomfortable grey areas. However, certain things such as respect toward our students of color should not be complicated. While Sen. Fulbright was surely not some grotesque villain, at the end of the day, he stood for segregation. Removing the statue and renaming the college would be a step in the right direction in acknowledging the negative impact Sen. Fulbright's segregationist legacy still continues to have on U of A IPOC students. We cannot pick and choose the "good parts" of history that we want to keep around because we are uncomfortable, especially at the expense of already marginalized groups of students.	I believe any figure on campus who is connected with white supremacy in any way should not be a part of the U of A. His name should be removed.	I'm proud to be a student at the U of A, and I believe the administration wants to do right by its diverse student body. I truly hope that more than anything the U of A will listen to the voices of IPOC students who are directly impacted by the harmful legacy of these figures on our campus.

Student	The importance that Senator Fulbright gave to the cultural and academic exchange with other countries gave me the opportunity to be part of a high level academic program to acquire the necessary education in order to get accurate solutions to many situations in my country. More than a prestigious scholarship, this is the legacy of a visionary man who wanted great opportunities to the world.	I just know about the statue and the School of Arts. I think that maybe it could be necessary to show everyone the transcendence of this visionary senator.		
Student		I think it would be a disservice to erase Fulbright completely from the university. He did many great things and was very accomplished. However, his name and face are seemingly everywhere on campus. There,Âs a dining hall, a college, a statue, flyers with his face, etc. I think the university committee should ask themselves what about his legacy they are honoring by each of these mementos. Personally, I think statues that aren,Ât in museums are put places in order to glorify someone,Âs work and legacy, hence why I personally oppose Confederate statues in downtown squares and think they belong in museums to properly learn from. If there are students, faculty, staff, or visitors on campus who are uncomfortable by a statue of Fulbright, due to his record on racial topics, and cannot see the value that he added otherwise, then take it down. I think in the freshman intro classes to the university, there should be a U of A history section where the statues and names are discussed in a meaningful manner so that students can decide for themselves who they want to support on our campus.		
Faculty	I am a Fulbright Global Scholar (2019 - 2021; extended due to pandemic). I value the opportunity I am having to research and other scholarship through the Fulbright foundation. Fulbrighters are encouraged to be ambassadors wherever they go in the world, to gain a greater appreciation of other cultures. I am grateful that J. William Fulbright initiated this opportunity that has been a source of good will throughout the world for so many for so long.	My belief is that we should look at a person's overall contributions and what they are remembered for. Fulbright, I think, is remembered for the great program that he initiated, rather than for personal biases he held. I think it is appropriate to maintain the name of the college and the statue. It is, of course, valuable to offer context of Fulbright's history in classes where that might be appropriate.	None.	None.

Student	It has impacted me in a way that I am proud to be a member of the J. William Fulbright College of Arts & Sciences and that I simply do not think I would be on this campus without his foundations and contributions.	I think everything should stay the same at this point in time. It takes a lot to look back on what Fulbright has done for this University and think that the statue should be removed and his name removed from the college.	I do not personally know much about this individual but I assume his contributions to the University were very valid and strong in making us this well-known public research institution. I think his name should be left as is.	
Student	I think Fulbright,Âs legacy has impacted me by showing that it is ok to grow and change, to learn from mistakes that you,Âve made. Fulbright is often misunderstood I think for views that he held in his political career, that didn,Ât reflect views that he had later in his life. And I think that is really important for anyone, especially college students to know: you should be able to change how you see and perceive things by getting educated and valuing your education.	I think more context of Fulbright,Âs history should be taught in classes, or highlighted more on social media because that is what today,Âs students are going to pay attention to. I really think that if he was understood more and wasn,Ât just thought of as a racist politician in the south in the 60,Âs (because he changed his views, I think people are focusing on that too much) then he would not be this big cause for debate like he is.	N/A	
Faculty	Since I am a retired geoscientist and retired Army officer, it has affected me only tangentially. I was, of course, aware of his accomplishments in international venues, exemplified by Fulbright scholarships.	The erasure of Fulbright's presence is absolutely unacceptable. His political career depended on accepting the racial inequities of the period. Had he become a firebrand advocate of black rights, he would never have been elected and therefore never would have been able to achieve what he did. To suggest that his statue should be removed and Fulbright College be renamed is inane political correctness. I would be extremely disheartened if the statue does not remain where it is and the College is renamed for some cipher with half his accomplishments. I believe that most of the people advocating for changes have no idea what Fulbright achieved.	The man advanced women's suffrage and supported other worthy causes. I suppose the "PC" crowd blames him for the Elaine race massacres. He requested Federal troops to stabilize the situation, but did not closely supervise their conduct, as if that were even possible. He issued many stays of execution for the black Elaine defendants, so he can hardly be accused of any obvious racial bias. Removal of his name from the Commons is just more inane political correctness.	Unfortunately, the South has a long history of injustices, particularly regarding race. This should be reflected in what and how we teach, not indulging in misguided political correctness that will undoubtedly be derided in the future.
Student	no impact	The statue should be removed, we should not honor a racist and he should have no presence at the university. The university should consider a name change to the college, preferably someone who represents UARK values.	UARK should remove his name.	
Alumni	It,Âs the name of the college I graduated from, that,Âs about it.	He was a famous senator from our state. He has a statue. Leave him be.	The food building is named after him. Leave the name.	This whole thing is ridiculous. Where was this ,Âúoutrage,Âù a year ago? 3 years ago? 5,10, 20 years ago? It,Âs just the ,Âúnew,Âù thing to be mad about. Just say you are looking into it, put a statue of Billy Bob Thornton (an Arkansan) on campus and call it a day.
Student	It has not impacted me in any way	The statue should stay	Get rid of him he,Âs an ole miss alumni	

Faculty	I, personally, have received two Fulbright grants that benefitted me and my students.	<p>I attach an email from 6 August 2020 to Dean Todd Shields:</p> <p>Dear Todd ,</p> <p>Recent developments in the College,Âs direction are troubling me, and as a former colleague, I wish to share my concerns with you.</p> <p>First, as to the question of Fulbright,Âs presence on campus and its ,Âmessage,Â: No human in infallible, without flaws; if we were to honor only those without blemish, we would have no memorials at all. We don,Ât honor Fulbright for his (dated) political votes, but for his singular program in support of international education,Âcoincidentally the mission of our College by assuming his name. Many of my foreign colleagues,Â appreciation of Arkansas and the UA stem from their admiration of Fulbright and his program. We shouldn,Ât shy from admitting Fulbright,Âs human failings, but we should be adamant in proclaiming his exceptional virtue. Regardless of the task-force/committee recommendation, you, the Provost, and the Chancellor should be the first to insist on the principle of excellence that our unique association with this native son represents.</p> <p>Second, at a time of failed national leadership, grievances are now advanced in the streets; official responses are reactive and thus knee-jerk, unconsidered, temporary. I have the same feeling when confronted with the College,Âs ,Ânew,Â Mission Statement. The qualities of diversity, inclusion, respect, civility, and equity are certainly laudable, as is ,Âpeace through education,Â,Âas a humanist, I value them all. However, this is but a laundry list of momentary social complaints that, ultimately, have nothing to do with a higher education. Is the College prepared to rewrite its Mission Statement in response to each passing grievance? And will the College,Âs courses and faculty be evaluated by their adherence to these un-academic and un-professional qualifications? I think/hope not. Why not simply adhere to the University,Âs motto of Veritate duce progredi?</p>	I am not aware of campus reaction to Brough, so I will not comment.	
---------	---	--	---	--

		<p>In short, I cringe when I read the College,Âs recent responses to sincere but misguided demands. You have my sympathy. I admire and respect you, and can only wish you happier times.</p> <p>Cordially, Todd</p>		
Alumni	People are suprised to learn that Fulbright was from Arkansas when I meet non-native Arkansans. Many people know his name from the prestigious ,ÂFulbright Scholarship.,Â	I would propose to not remove the name nor statue. His name is a recognizable brand outside of the state.	Leave it.	
Alumni	I graduated from Fulbright College and have friends who have received Fulbright Scholarships to study abroad.	I believe context is important. The university needs to acknowledge his faults, even if his beliefs were ,Âaccepted,Â then they are not condoned now. History classes do need to teach more context about Fulbright and his record/beliefs as a southern democrat. I don,Ât believe a Fulbright College should be renamed, however I do believe the statue should be removed until the university museum can determine the best way to present and contextualize the entirety of Fulbright,Âs legacy.	I believe his name should be removed from Brough Commons. Edith Irby Jones, Benjamin Franklin Lever, or Jackie Shropshire are all more deserving of recognition.	I applaud the University of Arkansas for taking these steps.
Staff	Yes but indirectly through his impacts at the University.	Change the name of the department but keep the statue and include an honest description of his acts both positive and negative.	Remove it	
Student	Fulbrights legacy has had little to no impact on me.	I think he was a vital part of our institutions history and that should not be something taken lightly or erased.	I think he was another major part of our institutions history and also should not be erased.	
Student	I see his statue at old main but other than that I haven,Ât had an impact.	I think the statue should be removed and the college name changed. I think more context should be taught and the college should be named after maybe Silas Hunt.	We should remove his name from the commons.	

Faculty		<p>I think Fulbright's presence on campus is important and should remain there. While I don't personally agree with his political philosophy or some of the decisions he made regarding the Vietnam War or Southern Manifesto, he was an important U.S. Senator and leader in Arkansas. I have personally benefited from the legacy of Fulbright by graduating twice from the Fulbright college. My husband and his entire family graduated from the Fulbright college at the UofA and received scholarships from the Fulbright college. Removing his statue, name, and other presence of Fulbright on campus is unnecessary and damaging. One of the reasons I love the rich history at the UofA is that it surpasses cultural change, political correctness, and social changes that determine what is diverse, sensitive, or acceptable. "Offensiveness" has become so common that anyone can find offense to anything. Do we remove every statues or building name because someone in the past signed a document in opposition to racial integration in the 1950s? We'd have no one left to honor and our history would be forgotten. If protestors demand Fulbright or other's to be removed, I would rather the statue be removed to a museum than the name of the college be changed. The Fulbright College of A&S has national recognition! I think both should remain. However, I believe strongly that the Fulbright statue and others should gain additional interpretation through a wayside panel that gives context. This practice has long been adopted by the National Park Service, the nation's leading preservation and interpretation agency, to make challenging topics or people relevant in a 21st century context. In terms of teaching more about Fulbright in the classrooms, I don't think it's necessary to force faculty to integrate the history of Fulbright. I think adding interpretation like a wayside panel, multimedia option like a QR code, are adequate to tell the history of Fulbright.</p> <p>In short, for a man who dedicated his life to serving the state of Arkansas and advancing educational opportunities, it would be a sad travesty to remove his legacy from the state's finest institution. After all, what other legacy do we really have other than our name? Removing</p>	Same as Fulbright above. I believe Brough commons should remain.	<p>As a land-grant institution out of the Morrill Act, the University of Arkansas has a unique obligation to serve all people. We have an obligation to reach all people in Arkansas including the most rural places. We also have an obligation that Arkansas communities directly benefit from the economic contributions of the university. Community education and community involvement are the keystones of the UofA. If any changes are needed at the UofA, it is to more openly acknowledge that the very institution occupies land once home to Native Americans. Beyond the Osage statue outside of the Engineering building, there is no strong evidence of American Indian presence or contributions to the UofA. If ANY changes are deemed necessary by this committee, I strongly recommend considering our American Indian heritage at the UofA. Rather than revising our history we should make our history more accessible and available for people. These options include signs on campus, public programs and symposiums, improved cross-disciplinary courses offered on a variety of topics including American Indians, and multimedia options on various platforms. The UofA should consider hiring a Vice Chancellor for American Indian Affairs like other institutions such as the University of Illinois, the University of Oklahoma, University of California, and others.</p>
---------	--	---	--	--

		Fulbrights name would be damaging to the legacy of the UofA. Furthermore, I think some leaders in Arkansas (including former President Bill Clinton) would not be happy with a decision to remove his statue and rename the Fulbright College.		
Staff	<p>Not directly, as far as I am aware. His global impact can't be denied and while he may have held many favorable positions in the light of history, his stance on civil rights is enduring. Weak at best and deplorably selfish in the name of securing reelection at worst, his record on the matter is part of his legacy.</p> <p>His legacy does not impact me in that sense, as he was in the supreme minority on the issues of Brown v. Board, Civil Rights Act, Voting Rights Act, and Open Housing act, among others - thankfully. But his positions are part of his and our history, nevertheless.</p>	<p>I believe that his presence should not be 'canceled', but allowed to remain in some form as a cautionary tale.</p> <p>Fulbright College should be renamed - perhaps to honor the civil rights pioneers hailing from the college. Look, he had a good run - the college was surely named for someone else before his short tenure as president, and one day it will be renamed again. The statue should be removed and relocated elsewhere, with amendments to it's plaque to note his record on the matter and why the statue was moved. Classes that mention him SHOULD provide context on his history voting against Civil Rights legislation. It is history, after all!</p> <p>Really, his most impactful contribution to our community is his education record and the Fulbright Scholar program. That program continuing will honor his legacy on that matter on this campus.</p>	<p>Charles Brough was hardly a domineering figure in Arkansas history - one of many governors and a law professor. There could indeed be many others whose name could be on campus (on a dining hall no less, how bizarre). Yes, he did send military to break up the Elaine Race Riots and traveled with them himself....yet there are many reports (both personal anecdotes and the NAACP) that the troops themselves engaged in killing of Blacks. Again, Brough was personally with the troops.</p> <p>He, more than Fulbright even, should be unquestionably removed from campus. Replace him with someone who contributed to feeding the poor in Arkansas, or made great contributions to agriculture.</p>	n/a
Alumni	His scholarship program helped catapult Bill Clinton to prominence. Obviously, that alone has impacted the entire world, albeit not necessarily for the better, especially if you consider how many people close to Clintons have been murdered over the years in order to keep their dirty laundry hidden.	I think he,Äs an imperfect man whose legacy is important to preserve due to his influence on the university, the state and the nation itself. I would like to see his presence remain just as I would like to see to confederate monuments remain standing. It would be a disservice to our history and our future to erase every monument to our past.		Political correctness is a fad that has long overstayed its welcome. The reactionary movement that addresses it will ensure that its overreaching presence is soon rescinded. Do not erase history to kowtow to some virtue signaling, ahistorical ignorami whose dusk is rapidly approaching.
Student	Not at all besides seeing it on the college of arts and sciences	I think that it,Äs fine how it is right now.	Honestly just know about him from brough	
Community member	He stood in the way of the civil rights of all Americans.	It's time to move on from these archaic personalities.	Ordered a massacre of black Arkansans; remove his name.	
Student	N/A	We should be honored to have Fulbright,Äs presence.		

Alumni	<p>That was the college I graduated from and he was brought up frequently in one of my American history classes. His presence and work in Congress and with Presidents is worth teaching but I didn't appreciate that a segregationist would remain as a leading figure of this school. Especially since we have a building named after the first African American to attend our university.</p>	<p>Well, is it worth having someone be so prominent when it doesn't represent that same thing to each student. One student might not mind but the other knows that their grandparents wouldn't have been allowed at the university if Fulbright got his way. Which student is worth representing at that point? The one who is hurt to have that reminder and has to see his name everywhere or the student who isn't affected? I would ask the student body who would be a better representation of the college and school. History should be fully taught. Men and women who have flawed views like segregation still have done things of note. Exclusion in history is never the point and what is constantly being taught to stop. It's literally just a matter of holding up persons who reflect the values of the university and its student body. Does a segregationist do that? Is it worth over looking to continue to alienate students of color AND keep them from enrolling because they don't trust the campus to be a safe place that represents them? Statue can be removed and names can change. There has to be a person more deserving to stand for all students to see on our campus.</p>	<p>Why is his name even used? Just ask one of the best history professors at the university about the Elaine Massacre to get perspective. Dr. Wayne. I'm not an expert by any means on that situation but this Governor is a reason why race conflicts like in Elaine are disputed and Arkansas stayed racist. He didn't even like labor unions.</p>	<p>Just do the right thing. Think of the students who are affected most by these names and having them held up in high esteem. Is it work keeping things this way?</p>
Alumni	<p>He has been an inspiration by proving Arkansans can have an impact on the internationally community and making the world a better place for all.</p>	<p>The statue and the his name on the college should not be removed. I can concede that altering the statue with a plaque giving context to his complicated history is important but his net impact on history was positive. No one is perfect and morals change throughout time, if we were to judge everyone born more than one hundred years ago based on today's standards we would have no statues or historical icons at all. Before voting on this issue I suggest the committee consider all of their past actions and how they could be interpreted in fifty years time and if anyone is truly as simple or one dimensional as society considers them to be.</p>	<p>As I stated above, all historical figures must be examined as a whole. I believe his name should stay but with a plaque added for context.</p>	
Student	<p>I believe that we cannot change history, and with his influence this school became something great.</p>	<p>The statue and naming of this college should remain how they are ,Â our history might not be what we want it to be but we can't change that. If we owe him as a school to remember his name then that is all it should be about.</p>	<p>I think this also should remain as is and should not be changed to a different name</p>	

Student	<p>J. William Fulbright left a legacy of an honorable, diligent, and hardworking American; and more personally, Arkansan. His achievements in government and his legacy in international educational excellence serve as a source of pride and inspiration while I pursue my own higher education at the University of Arkansas.</p>	<p>The statue should remain on campus. It should not be moved or removed. In the not-at-all-distant past, our U of A leaders and the very President of our United States of America deemed William Fulbright an honorable man, and one whose legacy was worthy of commemoration and recognition on our campus. The opinions of such campus and national leaders are significant, since these leaders were also held under the scrutiny of the public spotlight in their times, and/or were elected to their positions by us as citizens. To disparage our own elected officials (Fulbright) and the opinions of our predecessors (President Clinton, U of A leaders) would demonstrate a lack of discretion and/or poor judgement on the part of We the People more than it would upon those we elected and previously and so recently esteemed. No one is perfect; but may we all be judged more ardently according to our proudest moments than by our shortcomings. No single U.S.A. President has been without blemish, but these are rightly viewed as noble and courageous leaders among us. Let us not judge those of prior eras by standards unique to our current, modern era, in the same way that each of us wishes not to be publicly judged according to the values of a religion that is not our own. The burden of questioning the value of Fulbright's legacy lies not upon our Chancellor or his committee, but upon any lay citizens so concerned about any perceived evil that they would bring forth an open and convincing demonstration his wilful or reprehensible actions. And should such a clear and inexcusable charge arise, let it be corroborated by an overwhelming majority and not the few. Let us not seek out trouble or discontentment where it does not rear its ugly head.</p>	<p>See the latter comments regarding Fulbright above, which apply to both men questioned in this survey.</p>	
Alumni	<p>Not directly, but he was a great U of A President a powerful senator representing our state. I am a Scholarship Donner and a tower of Old Main</p> <p>Benefactor But if you make changes to the Fulbright legacy I will re-write my will to exclude the U of A</p>	<p>Continue as is. He deserves to be recognized</p>	<p>N/A</p>	

Staff	Until this year, I had only heard good things about Fulbright.	I am a former graduate of the Fulbright college. I am not sure if it should be renamed. But I am for re-contextualizing and teaching the good and the bad of the man. His political voting against civil rights act and voting rights act should be more well known. The problem is that in the past we only heard one point of view.How would I feel attending a college named after a man who voted against my right to be a legal voter in the country of my birth?	Brough is a different story. His involvement in the Elaine Massacre is awful and he should not be honored on our campus. His name should be removed.	
Staff	Deeply. I was a Fulbright English Teaching Assistant in Germany and in Austria. That opportunity led to more opportunities. Since then, I have been actively involved in the Fulbright alumni community. Most notably, I participated in the "Fulbright Germany On the Road" experiment that was a week-long road trip through America's heartland with 5 other American and German Fulbrighters. It ended with a conference here on the U of A's campus, where I made meaningful connections. I heard about my current job at that conference, applied, and I moved to Fayetteville to take that job. It was the Fulbright program (and for better or for worse- Fulbright's legacy) that led me to the University of Arkansas.	While I am a huge advocate for the Fulbright program itself and its mission of turning nations into people, I understand and I support those who wish to have the Fulbright statue removed or even rename the Fulbright College. Fulbright was flawed and deeply racist. I understand how having his statue loom over the heart of campus as offensive and even oppressive. I think the very least the campus can do is thoughtfully re-contextualize his legacy through a plaque that details It is this dialogue that is vital in helping turn our community into people and helps us get a bit closer to creating a place where everyone feels immediately valued, respected, and welcomed from the start.	To be really honest, I just googled who Charles Brough was. I am not from Arkansas, so maybe his legacy is more deeply felt among those from the state.	Just thank you all for thoughtfully engaging in this discussion
Student	J W Fulbrights legacy has impacted me greatly in my educational journey here at the University of Arkansas. As a descendent of the Fulbright family there is a great since of pride that I have for the successes of my ancestors on this campus and in the community.	I love that he still has a presence on this campus. It speaks to its History. Now I may not agree with some of his political decisions regarding segregation but what I feel is left out the story and what should be recontextualized is that fact that he was voting for the popular opinion of the state not for his personal agenda. After talking to my grandmother about the debate removing his name and statue from the college she was deeply saddened because he had been such a kind man who loved everyone. If anything the Fulbright scholarship he created back in the day shows that. He wanted to spread peace no matter the race/ethnicity through education. In conclusion I would hate to see this mans presence on this campus erased after all he has done for this university as well as how he has helped unit people from around the world.		

Community member	<p>During my 28 years in the Foreign Service of the U.S. Information Agency and the Department of State, I had the privilege of meeting foreign students, teachers, academics, and researchers scholars who participated in the various Fulbright exchange programs, as well as their American counterparts. The programs in which they participated help spread U.S. "Soft power" and increase mutual understanding, which then leads to increased trade, business, and investment -- among many other beneficial ties -- with countries around the world. My career took me to South Korea, Argentina, The Philippines, and Mexico -- all of which have large enough Fulbright exchange programs to warrant independent Fulbright Commission offices; and to El Salvador which lacks a commission but still has a robust program. Many Fulbright alumni are now in senior leadership positions in their countries, and their Fulbright experiences have made the great majority of them steadfast friends of the U.S. It was Senator Fulbright's postwar vision that created these programs that have been called one of the best things the State Department does, and Senator Fulbright -- though he was not perfect -- left a global legacy that rightfully bears his name.</p>	<p>Fayetteville was Senator Fulbright's hometown. The U of A was his alma mater and he taught there. Fulbright's legacy has raised high the name and profile of the City, the University, and the State of Arkansas. He deserves to be honored and commemorated, just as Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. -- who also was not perfect -- does. The statue and the building name should remain, and perhaps a regular annual lecture series, one that examines very openly and honestly Senator Fulbright's full story, ought to be implemented. Perhaps another statue should be erected or another building named for Linda Brown or the nine black students who bravely entered that high school in Little Rock.</p>	<p>Having just moved to Fayetteville in retirement from the Foreign Service, I didn't know anything about Governor Charles Brough until now, but my views are similar. Let's use these opportunities to educate ourselves, U of A students, and the community about the achievements, as well as the disappointments and failings, in two of Arkansas' prominent leaders. And instead of tearing down monuments, let's erect more to those whose bravery brought about much-needed change.</p>	<p>Leave the Brough name where it is. Let it spark discussion. Could a monument to those killed in the race riot also be put there?</p>
Faculty	<p>It gave me an appreciation for international education and how important it is.,</p>	<p>It's very important that the U of A recognize Fulbright as a former Governor, Senator and President of the university. Although he voted against some anti-discrimination legislation, that should not ignore the positive things he did for the university and education in general. I don't really have an opinion about the statue, but believe the ARSC College name should remain. Perhaps a more thorough teaching of Fulbright that incorporates his not so nice traits should be explored. Maybe what we can learn from ALL of Fulbright's actions.</p>	<p>I don't know anything about Charles Brough until recently when I read that Brough Commons is named for him, so I don't really have an opinion on his name being used. From the little bit I've read, he actually promoted anti-racism, so why is this renaming being considered, now?</p>	<p>I believe the university community needs a lot more education about Fulbright and Brough. Pros and cons on each man and the impact he had, both positive and negative.</p>

Staff	I would have to say my life has been improved by interaction of international students and Fulbright scholars on campus.	Dear Fulbright Legacy Committee,First of all, I want to thank you for soliciting and allowing my input. I also appreciate the town hall link which I viewed. It was very informative. As a white Staff member who did not grow up in Arkansas, perhaps my thoughts carry less weight than others. In addition, those thoughts, like this issue are challenging and complex. I can see how Fulbright,Ãs Civil Rights record could be a non-starter for many. It was for me also, but then I wonder where do we stop? I honestly don,Ãt know where we draw a line. I guess I am really affected by Marc Anthony,Ãs line in ,ÃJulius Caesar,Ã ,Ãthe evil that men do lives after them, the good remains interred in the soil. I won,Ãt get started on the innumerable atrocities of Julius Caesar, I could go until next July. Somehow, we must keep the good that Fulbright did alive while keeping a clear-eyed view of the evil he did.Maybe we have to get creative in putting Fulbright,Ãs legacy in perspective. Perhaps have U of A place a Malcom X statue placed near Fulbright giving him an earful of criticism. Perhaps set up a major Diversity education initiative and scholarship fund in Fulbright,Ãs name so that if he really is a bigoted cur, let him spin in his grave. Thank you, for allowing and listening to my thoughts	Focused on Fulbright issue which I know more about.	I had a diatribe about the presence of Thomas Aquinas Church, Southern Baptists, and Chik-fil-A on campus in the middle of my thoughts on Fulbright but thought better of it. It rambled and did not add much positive to the discussion.
Staff	It has not impacted me, individually, but it had a huge impact on the UA and the world.	To ignore the educational impact of Fulbright's legacy - through the Fulbright Scholars program - is exceptionally grievous. To negate the years of WORLD-WIDE positive impact to focus on a snapshot moment in time is wrong. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. plagiarized much of his "I Have a Dream" speech but that does not negate the positive impact that speech had on civil rights. Nor should it negatively impact his legacy. Comparing apples and cheese? Maybe. All I'm saying is that the impact of the Fulbright Scholar's program has been profound. Recognize that the man was flawed, that he made some dishearteningly unfortunate judgements while in office, but do not let that completely overshadow the good that he did. No, I do not think the statue should come down. No, I do not think the College should be renamed. Sure, teach a more fully contextual account of the man and his history.		

		Just don't *cancel* him from the history of our campus, or our state, or the world.		
Faculty		A simple comparison of the proportion of students at UA Fayetteville that are Black relative to the proportion of Arkansas citizens that are Black tells you that the University isn't doing enough to welcome Black students to campus. These numbers along with the horrendous actions of many white UA students that demean Black students revealed by #BlackAtUark indicates that the University needs to make immediate and drastic actions to improve the experiences of Black students on the UA Fayetteville campus. I think this includes removing the names of known racist individuals, like J. William Fulbright. To me it's a no brainer.	My thoughts are similar to those above, though I feel even more strongly that Charles Brough's presence be removed.	
Alumni	Very positively. His legacy has had worldwide benefits.	<p>It is inconceivable that the U of A would even consider re writing the past. This is not only dishonest, but an insult to the alumni who have supported Fulbright College through their time, money and energy.</p> <p>How inconsiderate to bite the hand that has fed you,.</p> <p>We should all be proud of Fulbright's contributions.This does not deny the fact that none of us are perfect.</p> <p>If certain parties feel strongly that the past should be undone, then I suggest they refund to alumni all of the funds donated since the college was formed.</p> <p>Removing the statue is a bad idea.</p>	Since when did this gentleman become an issue? Most people don't know the name. His " presence" seems to be irrelevant one way or the other. Removing his name is another example of denying our past. Removing names does not change the facts of history. I suggest leaving his name.	Fulbright College is treading on dangerous grounds by catering to a vocal minority. I fear the college's financial resources will be harmed. Solving the problems of minorities by re writing history is not in keeping with a system of higher education. In additlon, Fulbright College has no business getting involved in political issues.

Student		Fulbright,Ãs work is not only a reflection of Arkansas on a national stage, but is also known worldwide. The University of Arkansas should honor the work of Senator Fulbright and the reputation his name brings to the Arts college.	Governor Brough,Ãs name should remain on the Commons.	
Alumni	Having the Fulbright name on my college always gave me a major sense of pride. His legacy has provided so many students with amazing opportunities to learn both in Fayetteville and across the globe. It gave me something to strive towards and inspired me to look into different learning avenues available to me.	I'm a first generation graduate lifetime alumni member who was heavily involved in many aspects of the campus, and my love for the U of A runs deep. I would be extremely disappointed in the university if they chose to let pettiness and politics dampen and shroud all of the wonderful things that are a part of the campus as a part of the Fulbright name and legacy. It should stay a part of the campus no matter what. There's already so much that I feel gets ignored and taken away from my experience (I was involved in 5 clubs and committees and was a Resident Assistant for 3 years and didn't see anything in the yearbook once, it was all greek life and politics, which was ridiculous.) The idea that the Fulbright name would be removed I feel would just take away something else, and devalue the degree that I proudly display, as it bears the Fulbright name and gives it extra credence. Don't change the name of the college, leave it alone and don't devalue the degree that I worked so hard for.	I lived and worked in the Brough commons. I have zero problem with the name and see zero reason to change it.	If you (mistakenly, in my opinion) change the names of any of these places you will negatively impact almunus whose degrees bear the Fulbright name and if you were to change the Brough name, it should be after a person with positive impacts on campus, not just someone who meets the minority criteria. Someone like Brandon Burlsworth or McFadden who have brought positive light upon the university on a larger scale.
Alumni	I grew up in Fayetteville from 1948 through graduation in 1963. My parents lived there until their passing in the '90's. And, my sister Margaret received both her BSE and Masters in Library Science and lives with her husband, also a Civil Engineering graduate in Hot Springs Village. We all have nothing but the best memories of Senator Fulbright. He put Arkansas on the US and International maps in a very positive way. To this day, the name "Fulbright" is synonymous with Scholarship and International Understanding for hundreds of thousands of exceptional people from all over the planet. And those are just the direct Fulbright Scholars. Many times that number revere that experience. No person is perfect. It is important that the U of A teach the real facts of life, not seek to revise history.	He should stay exactly as now. But, it is also important to note that some of his earlier positions regarding voting rights, etc. were a product of the times but do not overshadow his accomplishments which reflect so positively on the U of A, the State of Arkansas and the USA.	I have no observations.	Let's remain a great educational institution which reports facts and lets the students decide.

Student	<p>The Fulbright program exists because of J. William Fulbright. I have been honored with the prestigious Fulbright Scholarship to continue my academic studies in this university and I feel very grateful for that. It has been a great opportunity for my to expand my knowledge and to know more about the American culture and life. I have admiration to J. William Fulbright and his legacy since, just like me, there are a lot of students accomplishing their academic goals thanks to the Fulbright program.</p>	<p>His presence should remain on the U of A campus due to his great contribution to the education of many students, who, thanks to him are able to continue their academic studies. The statue should stay. I have nothing against keeping the same name for the Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences.</p>	<p>Honestly, I do not know about Charles Brough, so I could not give an opinion about that.</p>	<p>We should not deny the good contributions of a person to the society just because the current context have changed. Their contributions still benefit other people and were very well intended.</p>
Alumni	<p>I graduated from Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences. Hoyt Purvis, former aide to Senator Fulbright, was my faculty advisor.</p>	<p>Fulbright's legacy is complicated, but his history of opposition to civil rights should disqualify him from being honored for that legacy without adequate context. As it's impossible to provide that context in the form of a school name, or public monument, I support the renaming of the Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences, and the Fulbright Peace Fountain, and the removal of his statue, preferably to a museum where it can be surrounded by information covering both the good and the bad that he did.</p>	<p>His presence should be removed from campus. Brough Commons should be renamed.</p>	
Alumni	<p>I had the pleasure of meeting Senator Fulbright when I worked in D.C. While he was a controversial man with strong opinions, he should be admired and remembered for his achievements. The idea of cancelling historic figures because they had a fault is ludicrous. Fulbright is part of our history and we as educated people should not look on anyone as an idol, but rather as someone with faults but who nevertheless made a contribution to our society and our school. We should rather learn from his errors, not try to bury them.</p>	<p>He was part of the University and his name should remain on the school.</p>	<p>No his name shouldn't be removed.</p>	

Faculty	<p>I am a white person in my 60's. I remember J. William Fulbright as a Senator from Arkansas who took a stand against the Vietnam War. When I came to the University of Arkansas, I realized how important the Senator, and indeed the Fulbright name meant to the University, the City of Fayetteville, and the State of Arkansas. His has been an enduring legacy.</p>	<p>I knew of Sen. Fulbright's troubling history on race relations, and on the fact that he either was a racist or that he simply benefitted from espousing racist ideology to improve his "electability." But the summer of 2020 is a sea change in American history. As a nation, as a State, as a University, we need a cleansing, and a truth-telling, and to let go of old symbols. This will be a tough decision, but I do not think the University can simply let the Fulbright symbols that are currently attached to the institution remain as they are. The Fulbright Peace fountain is perhaps the most benign of the symbols, but the statue and the naming of the College are questionable, at best. The statue should be moved indoors, and a context that acknowledges the bad with the good should be attached.</p>	<p>I recently (2 years ago) read Robert Whitaker,Âs account of the Elaine Race Massacre of 1919, "On the Laps of Gods." If Whitaker is to be believed (and I think he is), J. William Fulbright is not the University of Arkansas,Âs only institutional representative with poor credentials in the area of an enlightened view toward race. Governor Charles Brough, for whom our main dining hall is named, was a central villain in the Elaine Race Riot, the ,Âúworst race riot in American history.,Âù Brough, in an action apparently designed to cement his White Supremacist credentials, requested Federal troops (including mounted machine guns) to put down the ,Âúuprising,,Âù and personally traveled to Phillips County so that he could be seen leading those Federal troops in the effort that resulted in perhaps 200 (or perhaps 800, we don't really know) murdered Black Arkansas citizens. When Brough left Philips County after 5 days of mayhem, he left a white citizens group in charge of establishing ,Âújustice,Âù for the Black citizens arrested as perpetrators of the riot. The resulting "justice" was achieved eliciting confessions by torture and hasty and patently unfair trials.I think we can find many better examples to serve as the namesake of the main dining hall of the University. In that same episode, a great hero of Arkansas history emerges - Scipio Africanus Jones. Born a slave, Jones became a prominent attorney in Little Rock. He applied to study law at the University of Arkansas (but was, of course, refused), and heroically, and successfully, challenged death sentences for the 8 black citizens who were eventually charged with the responsibility for the massacre. Jones wrote most of the briefs and did the research for the landmark case Moore v. Dempsey, though white attorneys primarily argued the case before the U.S. Supreme Court."Moore v. Dempsey changed the nature of the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The ruling allowed for federal courts to hear and examine evidence in state criminal cases to ensure that defendants had received due process.,Âù (Encyclopedia of Arkansas)It was a case of profound importance in moving toward a semblance of fairness for Black citizens, particularly in Southern states; no more important case has ever been argued by an Arkansas lawyer. We should look for a naming opportunity for Scipio Jones, and we should lift him up as a great Arkansan. And if Brough Dining Hall were renamed Scipio Africanus Jones Dining Hall, in honor of his accomplishments as an Arkansas Civil Rights</p>	<p>I wish you good fortune in your deliberations. You have tough decisions, though Brough is easier, in my mind, than Fulbright. These were men of their times, but we are people of our times. And the blemishes on their records only continue to become more malignant with the passage of time. We cannot on the one hand say we deplore racism, then on the other, be comfortable with statues and buildings honoring racists.</p>
---------	---	--	---	---

			icon, that would be a small incidence of justice added to the scales. I would certainly raise my hand in support of such a move.	
Community member		William Fulbright should absolutely be honored on the UofA campus. In addition to being a highly regarded Arkansas senator in the days of civility and willingness to work "across the aisle", his founding of the Fulbright grant program for foreign students has been and continues to be important on a college campus, helping to create international understanding.		
Alumni	Any removal of statue or name will cause me to remove the U of A from my estate plan. Plan and simple.	Any removal of statue or name will cause me to remove the U of A from my estate plan. Plan and simple.	Any removal of statue or name will cause me to remove the U of A from my estate plan. Plan and simple.	Any removal of statue or name will cause me to remove the U of A from my estate plan. Plan and simple.
Faculty		I would recommend finding alternative ways to engage with Fulbright and his legacy on campus while taking seriously the concerns of the students who feel uncomfortable on a campus that is home to Fulbright's statue in a college that bears his name. It is difficult to justify recruiting students of color to a college named for a	Given Brough's role in the Elaine Massacre, I think his name should be removed from the Commons.	

		politician who voted to maintain racial segregation.		
Alumni		<p>My name is Thomas Brady Pryor III; I am 87 years of age, live in Fort Smith (but am in the process of moving to Fayetteville), practiced law for 51 years in Fort Smith before retiring in 2006 at the age of 73, was a colonel in the Arkansas Air National Guard, am married to Dr. Lorna Stokenbury Pryor (who taught Economics at the University of Arkansas prior to our marriage), my IQ when I entered the U of Arkansas in 1952 was measured as 156, and in addition to my law degree I obtained an MA in History from the U of Arkansas before settling into the practice of law. In the mid-1950,Ãs I worked in Senator Fulbright,Ãs Washington office while attending law school in D.C. (both of my parents were dead and Fulbright permitted me to work in his office while I completed my law degree), and I developed a cordial relationship with Fulbright which we maintained over the years.A very intelligent and congenial newspaper associate editor friend of mine from Booneville named Jim Davis, who now lives in Dallas, called me this summer and informed me that the University of Arkansas was giving consideration to removing Fulbright,Ãs statue from the University campus on the ground that Fulbright voted with southern senators against legislation such as the Civil Rights Act of 1954. This dumbfounded me! Should the University do so, it will disgrace itself and demonstrate not Fulbright's lack of character, intelligence and understanding, but that of those who make the charges against Fulbright.Yes, I too was surprised at Fulbright,Ãs failure to vote for the Civil Rights Act of 1954. When this occurred I went to Fulbright and asked him why he voted against this act. Fulbright replied: ,ÃTom, yes, I did vote against this act, although (as you know) I favored the act. I did so, because there was no question that the act would pass easily without my (and other Southern senators,Ã) support. BUT, had I voted for it, Governor Orval Faubus would easily have replaced me as Arkansas' senator in the next election. YOU tell ME how I should have voted?,ÃIt is, of course, quite easy</p>		

		<p>to pontificate one's „virtues,“ by demanding to have Fulbright's statue removed on the ground that Fulbright cast what he knew was a meaningless vote in order to remain (and not let Faubus replace him) as Arkansas' senator. But, I would like to know just who among the members of your committee, had they been in Fulbright's position, would have themselves voted for a proposal if they knew that doing so would be meaningless, and that not doing so would cost them their senatorial position? I promise you that Fulbright's character and intelligence was as high and virtuous (and, I suggest, even more-so) as that of every one of those parading around attempting to display their virtuous character by demanding that Fulbright's statue be dismantled and removed. To repeat: Fulbright's vote for the Civil Rights Act was meaningless; he knew this, and Fulbright enjoyed the passage of the act without placing himself in a very difficult (indeed, politically dangerous) situation. I would like to believe that those who criticize Fulbright for this have Fulbright's intelligence and character. They should be aware that their pontification on this reflects on them; not Fulbright. I have no doubt that at least some of these persons do have the intelligence to understand and the character to grasp this. The statue of one of the University of Arkansas's most outstanding presidents should not be removed. Doing so will, I promise you, reflect on you and those who are pressuring you regarding this . . . not on Fulbright! You have my permission to show this to the other members of your committee. Thomas B. Pryor</p> <p>Dr. Lorna S. Pryor</p>		
Other		<p>"John Dixon" <jcdixon@uark.edu> wrote:</p> <p>DO NOT remove the Fulbright statue!</p> <p>No one is perfect and he embodies what we need most these days: international peace and understanding!</p> <p>DO NOT be influenced by loud voices of a radical minority group of students!</p> <p>professor emeritus</p>		

Alumni	Source of pride, was a world figure with roots on this campus	It is a vital part of the history and international reputation of the school as well as the state and the country. The name and statue should remain unchanged	Not as famous as Fulbright but still vital part of our history	Should remain as is
Student	As a political science major when I was on campus in Fayetteville I wrote a paper about Senator Fulbright,Âs political positions during the Vietnam war. My research found him to be a very articulate statesman and honest politician which was hard to find in the 60s. We lived in Fayetteville and my father,Âs business was a competitor of JW,Âs Coca-Cola distributor ship. we found his company to be as honest and honorable as he was.	It was an honor to have his name associated with our university. His statue should never be removed and not touched in anyway. He was president of the university. He should not be dishonored in any way.		
Faculty	International recruiting for graduate programs is greatly facilitated by using the Fulbright name. We need to find a way of separating his inadequacies from his benefits. I don't know that is possible, but Fulbright does have an important legacy.	Contextualized, with his short-comings strongly emphasized.	I know nothing about anything good he did. Until this re-evaluation I had no idea where the name Brough came from. Being governor doesn't mean you are worthy. Of course, we have named buildings after former chancellors that weren't really that great at what they did.	
Faculty	It has focused international attention on the UA because of his Exchange program. These are once in a lifetime opportunities for international exchange and understanding. I will always remember his opposition to the Vietnam war.	I think it is overall very positive. He understood that Arkansas was (is) a backwards state and he had to deal with it. We cannot erase all of the positive effects of historical figures because of the culture they lived in. We must amplify the positive and be on guard to eliminate racism whenever it appears. See French Hill,Âs comments on Joyce Elliot a few days ago. The statue should not be removed, the name of the college should remain. History is all about context in this Twitter generation.	I have none. He is in no way comparible to Fulbright.	
Staff	His impact and creation of the Fulbright program has been life-changing for several of my friends who received the grant to work and study abroad.	This is a complicated question and as such, so are my thoughts. Fulbright's statue and presence, if it remains on campus, should be recontextualized to consider the holistic impact (not just the positives) of his action and inaction re: civil rights and desegregation. A more truthful plaque would be a step in the right direction, to acknowledge that we are all flawed and should not be memorialized at the cost to communities of color. Another option is to add an additional statue to broaden the narrative; this should preferably a person of color with historical significance in the same period. The same can be said of the name- at least, a recontextualization and honest conversation is necessary, and at most- a name change with context. The more important	In my opinion, we should remove the Brough name and replace it with the name of Wiley A. Branton Sr.	

		question should be: How are those most harmed by the current situation (Fulbright's statue and the college's name) suggesting we move forward?		
Staff	I have been affiliated with the University since 1975, as the child of an administrator, undergraduate student, graduate assistant, research associate, and as a full time staff person for the past 23 years. Until this summer, I took little notice of Fulbright's legacy other than his contributions to international relations. Over the past 4 months, I have only been negatively impacted by his legacy, with a new awareness of his D-minus record on race relations, leading to feeling embarrassed by his prominent place on our campus.	I attended the town hall meeting where his legacy was discussed, and appreciate the difficult situation of our administrators in deciding what to do next. My vote is to move the statue inside Old Main with appropriate interpretive signage. Interpretive panels should should also be added near the peace fountain, unless Fulbright is removed from it's name. If the college name remains unchanged, it should be with the endorsement of BIPOC student, faculty, alumni, and community groups. Probably easier to just change it.	<p>There is no credible reason to keep any trace of Brough's presence on this campus. His record as a repugnant, amoral White supremacist far out shadows any of his "progressive" accomplishments. Specifically, his role in the murder of hundreds of innocent Black people in the Elaine Massacre is absolutely grounds for thoroughly stamping out any mention of him in association with our institution.</p> <p>I'll steal an idea from a friend, and suggest that the commons be renamed to recognize a true hero of the same era, Scipio Africanus Jones. This brilliant man was denied admission to the U of A, yet still found a way to obtain justice for those falsely convicted of causing the massacre in Elaine.</p>	Thank you for the opportunity to give input on these two important questions. I realize this is difficult for all involved and hope that together we can find a way forward, to be better and to do better.
Student	I have participated in educational exchange programs.	<p>Fulbright was a racist that used racism to hold power. His internationalism was only possible because of the votes he won by race-baiting. He helped sustain a system of oppression that denied basic rights and freedoms to black Arkansans. Because of this we should not celebrate him on campus.</p> <p>The Statue should be removed. The college should change its name.</p> <p>Students should be required to take more history classes. I do not believe anyone outside of the history department is qualified to discuss this topic with students. Therefore, I think the worst thing that could happen would be for a higher-education designed segment on Fulbright be added to orientation or first year freshmen experience classes. There should be no "both sides" discussion. We should not worry so much about our alumni- we must remember this was a white segregated campus not so long ago, and the longer we invoke the alumni as an impediment to progress, the more we continue the legacy of segregation that was once at the</p>	The man was absolutely abhorrent and for his role in the Elaine Massacre has no place on campus. This should not be controversial at all. It is only because the university has typically avoided confronting Arkansas governors, for fear of political backlash.	<p>Greek life is also a symbol of white supremacy on campus and should be abolished. We should not build segregated housing, nor empower segregated clubs. We should not build new buildings resembling plantation mansions.</p> <p>We need to celebrate the courageous black Arkansans that desegregated the undergraduate student body in the 1960s. Focusing on Silas, without highlighting the oppression he faced and his death on campus, erases the hard work done later to actually desegregate campus. Football, the marching band, and ASG all worked hand-in-hand to impose white supremacy during that era. We cannot truly repent for our past wrongs until we publicly come to grips with how these pillars of the UofA were once not only symbols of white supremacy, but deeply racist institutions themselves. There are still alumni and legacy students who yearn for these institutions to reflect old racist values, and we need to do more to reject those impulses.</p>

		<p>core of the UofA experience.</p> <p>We will not truly liberate this school until we pull down the symbols of segregation on campus and become a truly multi-cultural campus. That means acknowledging our campus traditions largely come from the segregation era, and are themselves a symbol of white supremacy. We need to move forward, never back.</p>		
Alumni	The Senator's legacy in international relations and education has provided a positive role model throughout my adult life.	I believe that the Senator's presence on campus should continue. I believe that the statue should remain where it is, and our college name should continue. Perhaps an explanation of the context of his early life and career would bring additional light to any negative perceptions, however in my opinion the contributions made through his commitment to international relations and education have far exceeded the reflection of any negative perceptions based upon his life and the context of that time in our nations history.	Change it! Remove the name.	I am a graduate of the college, and our history department, and met the Senator. I am sensitive to the perceptions of our University Community and will support the committee in your recommendations!

Community member	<p>Dear Committee Members,Thank you for the invitation to comment on the recognition appropriate to the late Senator and University President J. William Fulbright. My wife and I would be deeply disappointed if the University were to decide to change the current naming of the Fulbright College or to remove the statue honoring the Senator.Fulbright accomplished much for the University, Arkansas, the United States, and the world. He did much good. The Senator also did some things that, particularly now, appear less than courageous or admirable. In that respect he is no different from all of us. Consider, for example, Bill Clinton, certainly the most consequential politician from Arkansas. We hardly need to point out that aspects of his personal life are morally questionable. Martin Luther King was a great man, an important influence for good, but he had flaws. He was a serial adulterer, and after his death it was learned that he had plagiarized his thesis. We rightly honor him for his work in promoting civil rights and separate that from his failings.We urge the Committee to use this opportunity as a learning experience to help students and, really, all of us evaluate real life and real people. Put the Senator in proper context: what did he do that we properly admire? What did he do that we question? Can we understand why he did that? Could he have done differently? If he had said and done what we now think he should have, would he have been re-elected? If he had been replaced by a ,ÄúSenator Faubus,Äù would that senator have done more for civil rights? We strongly doubt it. Would a ,ÄúSenator Faubus,Äù have done the good for Arkansas, the United States, and the world that Fulbright did? We strongly doubt it.In this respect, the Committee might want to read the very interesting article in a recent Wall Street Journal (,ÄúWhen Jimmy Carter Was Silent on Civil Rights,Äù Saturday, October 18) about President Jimmy Carter. A brief excerpt:"As he nears his 96th birthday, Jimmy Carter is revered by many as a moral exemplar,Äôthe rare political figure who, whatever his shortcomings, lives his values. But that wasn,Äôt always so.In the 1960s, as a state senator from southwest Georgia, Mr. Carter ducked the civil-rights movement and did nothing</p>		
------------------	--	--	--

		<p>to confront police violence in his own backyard. When the county sheriff,Äiwhom Martin Luther King, Jr. described as ,Äithe meanest man in the world,ÄiÄiused cattle prods on teenage Black protesters, Mr. Carter stayed silent. Not until he became governor of Georgia in 1971 did he start to publicly embrace racial justice.This was no sudden moral transformation. Mr. Carter had opposed segregation since his Navy years, but at home he concealed his enlightened views on race in the interest of protecting his business and his political prospects."Real life and real people are not altogether admirable or altogether despicable. Part of maturity involves learning to distinguish and evaluate. Determine what is good and emulate that. Use what is bad to guide us to think and do differently.Those who think we should ignore the good people have done because there were also unsavory aspects forget that we are where we are now because of the path we have taken. The men who drafted the Constitution and Bill of Rights had flaws. But they are properly honored for what they created, and those things allow us now to criticize and do better.We urge the University to honor the Senator and University President for the good he did.Sincerely yours,Alvin Thomas KingJill A. King</p>		
Student	<p>I am currently a graduate student, but I graduated this past May from Fulbright College. For the majority of my time in Fulbright College, I had no idea that J. William Fulbright had supported segregation and staunchly opposed civil rights for Black Americans. Truthfully, this makes me feel ashamed-- both that I didn't know about it, and that his name is everywhere on a campus that I love.</p>	<p>I refuse to make excuses such as "it was a different time," because in 2020, this man's name and face are everywhere on our campus. I can't imagine how unwelcoming of an environment that must be to students of color, constantly being reminded that the namesake of their College vehemently opposed their right to even be here. This is not the environment I want for my fellow students, faculty, their families, or anyone else. I support the removal of J. William Fulbright's name from the College of Arts and Sciences, as well as the removal of the statue in the heart of our campus. I want to be clear that this man and his accomplishments are not at risk of being forgotten just because we remove these things. This isn't about erasing him from history books; it's about taking the step to make our campus more welcoming for everyone. This is an opportunity to shine a light on someone else</p>	<p>Similar to my situation with J. William Fulbright, I did not know until this year that Charles Brough was partially responsible for the Elaine Massacre. This is absolutely devastating. I fully support removing Charles Brough's name from Brough Commons. Once again, this type of tribute sends the wrong message about what our campus stands for. We cannot, in good faith, attempt to welcome increasing diversity on our campus when we still have these ties to a horribly racist past. These ties do not represent the University that I know and love. Once again, this man and anything else he accomplished are not at risk of being forgotten just because we remove his name; this is not about erasing history. It's about choosing what kind of community we want to be, presumably an accepting and supportive one for all, and making sure our physical campus reflects that.</p>	

		from the U of A's history who doesn't have such a problematic, racist past.		
Staff	I struggle with the legacy of Fulbright, as with all people we cannot be judged simply by one part of our life or series of decisions. However part of the problem is that he was unrepentant about the worst actions of his life. I understand the legacy of international outreach and peace but again even in that the focus has overlooked a great deal of the world populated by POC. It hurts me to know that we push his name and legacy on our students of color and "white wash" his record of racism.	I believe that the statue should definitely be removed from campus, As to the name of the college to remove J. William from the college name, I feel removing it is a necessary action one that will no doubt come with consequences. However pain is something that others have suffered with under policies supported by Sen Fulbright and perhaps the removal of the name is a step to bring about awareness, inclusion and equity on this campus closer. Regardless of the decision a greater focus on the entirety of Fulbright's record in research and teaching is crucial	Charles Brough's legacy is so horrifying, it troubles me that he was ever given the honor of having anything named after him. this facility needed to be renamed decades ago.	Please remember in the decision that is made, that we must look beyond the cost to current funding to the college and cast a vision of the benefit to current and future generations of students who can learn from your example and go on to change the world.
Alumni	Fulbright personally impacted my life by making our nation and the world better by his emphasis on education and international relations. He is without question one of the top individuals the state of Arkansas has produced. I cannot remember talking to anyone, even in discussions outside the state, since my college days when discussing national and international affairs, who did not express admiration for this native Arkansan. There is no one from this state or associated with the U of A who has enjoyed a higher degree of trust and stability as did Fulbright during his years of service at the national level. Do not forget he was the only Senator that voted to discontinue funding for the McCarthy hearings and also had the courage to vote against the Vietnam war, opposing his president.	I do not want Fulbright's name removed from the college or his statute removed; I do think more context of Fulbright's history should be taught in classes. To remove all traces of him would be shameful. This man, long dead, is not here to defend his actions or explain them in the context of the times. At what point would this end? Would all of the graduates from the Fulbright college have to turn in their sheepskin? Would the thousands of graduates who may have made a disparaging remark or action against a person, thing, or event have to have their name scrubbed from the U of A Senior Walk? None of us are free of poor judgment.	Charles Brough should be lauded for signing into law the right for women to vote in a primary, allowing women's suffrage before the 19th Amendment passed and his support of anti-lynching laws. Certainly, his failure of leadership during the Elaine Race Riots should be acknowledged, but his record as a whole should be considered. As former Governor of Arkansas and U of A president, his name should remain on Charles Brough Commons.	Although I am sure the committee is made of outstanding people, the makeup of it concerns me. How many people on this are native Arkansans and/or U of A Graduates? Are there many members of the committee that were alive when Fulbright was active in government? And my main point as I allude to above is that divisions or buildings of the U of A are named after many fine U of A graduates, David Pryor, Donna Axum, Dale Bumpers, Sam Walton, and Bud Walton. Are all of these people completely free of sin and bad stances over the course of their lifetimes? I think if you remove one name you will have to consider removing all.

Alumni	I was a student in the college of Arts and Sciences. His emphasis on the global perspective impacted how I think about learning, the world, and different perspectives. However, he didn't believe in civil rights for black people	His presence is problematic on campus. He did great things, like his fellowship program and focus on foreign relations. However, he didn't support civil rights for black people. He did not support the Civil Rights act or Voting Rights Act. The statue should be removed, the college renamed, and Fulbright should be taught in classes.	His history is not taught on campus. The Elaine Race Riots need to be taught, and Brough's name should be removed.	
Alumni	The Fulbright international scholars program is so important in helping different cultures learn to appreciate each other. My company sold software licenses all over the world from Arkansas. The Fulbright program was very recognized and appreciated.	I strongly support keeping the name of the college and leaving the statue in place. Fulbright was internationally known in a very positive light	Due to his actions (and being present) at the Elaine massacre, even though he did some very good things relative to women suffrage, I think his name should be removed	
Alumni	It's been over 30 years ago since graduating from the U of A, but the years spent there in the Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences were meaningful. This is where I learned to debate, gain perspective on listening to the other side, receive courage to speak in public and better communicate. As a native Arkansan, I was proud to be in the Fulbright college. There was a pride knowing "my college" was named after a former campus president and senator. Simply put, it's an institution.	I appreciate the opportunity to voice my concerns about the removal of the J. William Fulbright statue and renaming of the College of Arts and Sciences. We must Not, do either of these actions. I graduated from the Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences as have thousands before me and since me. It will be forever on my diploma and the cancel culture of today is tryin to eradicate history. I am vehemently against racism and appreciate the need for conversations. That being said, there are many African Americans that don't agree with the positions of BLM and the divisions being created by trying to get rid of history. It may not all be pretty, but history is what forms us, teaches us and educates us. The idea that my Alma Mater is even considering wiping it out appears as pandering to one group verses standing up for all students, graduates and recipients of the many rewards affiliated by William Fulbright. He did more for the world, our country, state and beloved University that is positive than negative. It's not just a race issue. If you remove either of these, what about offending all the Fulbright Scholars? The Fulbright College graduates? There's an opportunity to define all of the good he did and I support offering a Fulbright history class for those interested in learning more about him verses making any changes.	When I was a student, I ate many meals in this meal commons. I never once questioned who he was or what he stood for. I learned he was a former Arkansas Governor and simple as that, it made since to me Brough's name be honored. After researching him a bit further, he seemed to be a forward thinking, more progressive in his day. I'm not sure the fuss about him quite honestly. He passed a law to allow women to vote in state primaries before the suffrage and 19th Amendment was passed. My opinion is to leave the name.	<p>For perspective, if the U of A changes the name of the Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences, where does that end? What if a group of women said they were offended by the Dale Bumpers name. He was a career democrat that supported and fought for Bill Clinton during his impeachment trials. Sexual discrimination is legitimate and if the U of A goes down this road of politicizing and culture canceling, I will become offended.</p> <p>Lastly, the money it would take to remove a statue, make signs to rename colleges and the labor involved, who pays for this? I have a current student at the U of A and the tuition is a lot! Does our tuition go to these efforts? My alumni dues?</p>

Staff	As both an alum and current staff member, I was previously always proud to be a graduate of the J. William Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences. Like many great leaders of the past, many have accomplished things that history prides them on. I believe Senator Fulbright did many great things for the State of Arkansas and our country. But, he also did nothing to create further equality for minorities in our country.	I do not have a problem with whatever this committee leadership decides to do with regard to this matter. However, in my personal opinion, I would like to see the namesake of the college stay but remove the statue. I also think it is a fantastic idea to add a required course that discusses the history of our institution including the legacy of Senator Fulbright.	I do not have a problem with whatever this committee leadership decides to do with regard to this matter.	Thank you for your leadership and commitment to making this an open conversation with alumni, faculty, and staff. We appreciate your efforts in making our campus more inclusive.
Student	Honestly, I don't feel it has other than through the name of the college I attend.	I do not believe a segregationist deserves to be remembered in the name of a college. I recommend the college be renamed. The statue belongs in a museum. The history of the people parts of our university are named after should be taught. And it should be actively considered and reconsidered. We do not ever want to show support for racism, sexism, homophobia, etc. and when we name a college after someone who is racist, we are showing that in effect we support that.	Brough should not be celebrated. He was aware of violent murders and did nothing, but rather condemned the victims. This is not okay and not something our campus should be celebrating.	We need to show our support for minorities on this campus. They need to know they belong. This can include renaming places/colleges that were named after racist individuals, hosting educational events, requiring diversity training for not only staff but also students, and more. Currently, there are so many remaining effects of racism. There is still active racism. Until we live in a world where people are not ever put at a disadvantage because of their skin color or the skin color of their ancestors, we cannot sit by and do nothing. One way we can help is to stop idolizing figures that were racist.
Alumni	The International Fulbright Scholar program, conceived by J. William Fulbright in the early 1950s, still proudly bears his name. This exchange of students, teachers, researchers, and scholars is undoubtedly the most important program of its kind in the world. Recipients of Fulbright awards have taken their passion for education, teaching, and the United States to over 140 countries for about 70 years. Scholars and students from all parts of the world are both eager and honored to spend time in the United States, absorbing its culture and values. My husband and I have both been recipients of Senior Scholar Fulbright awards. He has taught American literature at universities in Skopje, Yugoslavia (now the Republic of North Macedonia), both West Germany and the former East Germany, and Belarus. I taught library science courses in Belarus. Those experiences, especially the year in Skopje in 1971-72, broadened our knowledge of the world and gave us an enduring interest in Eastern Europe. They also have given us lasting friendships that we treasure. Our friends and acquaintances throughout Europe who have taught and studied at American universities and libraries	Some of what we now see as Senator Fulbright,Âs mistakes were responses to the views of his constituents at the time. The University of Arkansas should be proud to highlight the great legacy of Fulbright,Âs having had the foresight to see how important educational exchanges would be for international understanding after World War II. I do not think that the name of the college should be changed. The statue should be a reminder that great men do have flaws.	I have no strong opinions about Mr. Brough. Like all people, he had a good side as well as a tawdry one. Can we be expected to cast stones on every person from the past who did not live up to our modern expectations or standards?	I am proud to be an alum of the University of Arkansas. Having graduated with bachelor,Âs and master,Âs degrees set me on a path to further education that led to an interesting and fulfilling academic career. I take pride in my association with the Fulbright College, even though that association precedes the name.

	<p>count their experiences as among the most significant in their lives. In Germany, for example, many of the political leaders and important academicians look upon their experiences in the US as helping to shape their world view. Fulbright,Äôs legacy has had a tremendous influence on the lives of thousands. That accomplishment should never be diminished.</p>			
--	---	--	--	--

Community member	<p>As a graduate student, I received a Fulbright Travel Grant which permitted me to complete my doctoral dissertation. Later, as a faculty member in Fulbright College (1982-2004), I watched with pride as my wife (and fellow faculty member) and daughter (presently a Fulbright College faculty member) both received Fulbright grants. Beyond these personal benefits from Fulbright's enormous legacy, I have encountered hundreds of beneficiaries whose lives have been enhanced by the Fulbright Program -- and who have learned about the University of Arkansas through the association of his name with Fulbright College. I have always been proud of my personal association with a College named after this incredibly influential international figure. I believe removing the statue and the name would impoverish the University of Arkansas and cheapen the future degrees conferred by its College of Arts and Sciences.</p>	<p>This is the text of an OpEd published by the Arkansas Democrat Gazette this summer. I wrote it in response to an earlier OpEd by Prof. Randall Woods. Your committee should review both.Two LegaciesMy colleague Randall Woods set out perfectly the contrasting legacies of Senator J. William Fulbright: the early and haunted legacy of political survival in an era of persistent racism, and the later and luminous legacy as a crusader for international understanding and peace. Now the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville and, specifically, its College of Arts and Sciences are being asked to decide whether the early legacy should, indeed must, eclipse the latter. Professor Woods concludes that although icons are important, constitutional rights and social justice are more important. I agree with his conclusion, but not with its implication that in the case of Fulbright College the name should be struck and the statue toppled. When the College of Arts and Sciences decided in 1980 to become The J. William Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences it marked a new chapter at the University of Arkansas. Up to that point, the constituent colleges were labeled generically as the College of Business Administration, the College of Law, the College of Agriculture, etc. By embracing the name of its most distinguished graduate, the faculty and administration of the College of Arts and Sciences deliberately chose to honor the Senator, and moreover, to embrace in its educational mission the promotion of international peace and understanding. Since that time, several of the other colleges and units have followed suit, creating the Sam Walton College of Business, the Leflar Law Center, the Eleanor Mann College of Nursing, the Fay Jones College of Architecture, the David and Barbara Pryor Center for Arkansas Oral and Visual History and the Dale Bumpers College of Agriculture. Such dedications are not trivial decisions and require not only extensive consideration of worthiness but also the support of faculty and administration. In the case of the naming of Fulbright College, no one was more involved, no one more central than Professor Woods, who later in his distinguished career served as Dean of this very college. In my then capacity as Associate Dean, I conducted the freshman orientation for</p>	<p>As a transplant to Arkansas from California, I knew nothing about Brough. I knew a great deal about Fulbright, however, and was enormously pleased to join the faculty of the institution where he studied and taught and served as president. I still know nothing about Brough.</p>	<p>On more than one occasion I have heard Bill Clinton state that he launched his bid for the presidency on the Fayetteville square because his mentor, J. William Fulbright launched his own political career there. There is a small bust of Fulbright testifying to that on the square. I write these lines as a community member, convinced that the name, image and legacy of Fulbright extend far beyond the campus. Their disappearance would diminish our entire community.</p>
------------------	--	--	--	---

		<p>new students for over a decade. During these sessions for students and parents I explained why the students were matriculating in a college named for the Senator, how his vision of international understanding had shaped our curriculum, and what, as a faculty, we hoped might guide these students,“ future contributions to world peace. I stand by those words today. I also acknowledge that I never mentioned Fulbright,“s early failures. I trust that my successors can and will remedy my omissions. But that remedy cannot occur if the name is erased, the iconic statue opposite the Peace Fountain taken down. Our current historical moment, long in coming and triggered by the Black Lives Matter movement, rightfully demands adjustments, corrections, contextualization and admission of error. I submit it should not result in ,“cancelling,“ a figure who is genuinely and uniquely important to the State of Arkansas. To those who worry that today,“s Black students may be uncomfortable walking by Fulbright,“s statue or accepting a diploma bearing his name, I further submit that it is not the function of college to make students feel comfortable. The function is to help them think, to develop critical habits of mind that will search, challenge and reach independent judgment. To that end, an iconic statue of a thoughtful, pensive Fulbright at the foot of the Fay Jones Peace Fountain could, and should, serve as an example of a public life that broke its early strictures and became great.</p> <p>Mark E. Cory</p>		
Alumni	Both my master's thesis and doctoral dissertation included him as a principal subject matter.	We should keep him on campus and study him more.	We should keep his name on the commons. The evidence to the contrary is very sparce and mostly partisan. Much more research is needed before a decision is made.	<p>As an Arkansas Alumni Association Lifetime Member #5150 (B.A., 1997, M.A., 1999) this is the very first time I have heard of this project. I am actually an expert on this subject matter. Both my master's thesis and doctoral dissertation were written on this very subject matter. If you have not already assigned Alumni members, I would be happy to serve on and make a significant contribution to this committee.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>John Kyle Day, Ph.D.</p> <p>Professor of History, University of Arkansas at Monticello</p>

Alumni	<p>The impact of the Fulbright scholarship to my students (I work in higher education at another institution now) is tremendous.</p>	<p>The past of the U.S. is dirty and no one is clean from wrong doing. I think keeping in context of who he was, displaying his whole history in context with the statue and school of ed is fine. Not trying to cover the past but discussing the past and the impact her had both positive and negative. On the national stage, his legacy is very important and brings Arkansas positive recognition.</p> <p>This should also teach us not to build statues to people.</p>	<p>Again, another controversial figure with both positive and negatives in his past. But he does not bring the recognition to the campus in a similar way as Fulbright. Changing of the name is fine with me. But at the same time, we need to find a way not to erase the past, but explain the past and who these people were so that future generations learn. A hall of shame maybe.</p>	<p>Good luck in your decision. You are going to make people mad either way.</p>
Alumni	<p>Fulbright's courage in standing up to Lyndon Johnson in Johnson's pursuit of the war in Vietnam can hardly be overstated. Until this Covid pandemic happened, the Vietnam war was the most disastrous (American) event in my lifetime. I was very proud when Fulbright spoke at my M.A. graduation, although his most important antiwar work was yet to come.</p> <p>Fulbright countenanced continued racism in Arkansas and at the University (the dorms were integrated while I was a student there), and that was a shame. But otherwise, given the widespread racism in the voting public, he would not have been able to accomplish anything--he'd be back in Arkansas running a smallish newspaper.</p>	<p>I hope his presence there is maintained. Who is more deserving of credit? Jerry Jones???</p>	<p>I'm afraid I'm unaware of Charles Brough's history. I ate many a meal in Brough Commons. Always thought it was a strange name.</p>	

Alumni		<p>I think the college and statue should remain, though I don't have any issue with added context to explain the complexities of J. William Fulbright. Fulbright, with his dedication to peace, intellectualism, and multilateralism, promoted many of the ideals that the university upholds today. While the university does not and should not endorse actions Fulbright took during the civil rights movement, to remove him from the university would effectively erase the good parts of his legacy from the campus while maintaining the spectre of his stances on race. If anything, the university should amplify the good of Fulbright's legacy. Fulbright was human and flawed like the rest of us, and I think he can be held up as a case study of nuances of legacy and an example how flawed people can still do a great amount of good in the world. Fulbright is a great example of how someone can do great things in spite of their failings.</p>	<p>I had never heard the full name of Charles Brough before this controversey. I don't very many of my classmates knew anything about him either. I think it is rather fitting that his name is completely detatched from his legacy and currently associated with unappealing food in an ugly building with a weird smell. If the committee decides to remove Brough's name from the cafeteria, please don't soil someone else's legacy by putting their name on it.</p>	<p>As mentioned in my comments on Fulbright, I think all of us, as humans, are flawed. I believe that if the university were to remove Fulbright from campus it would send a signal to members of the Razorback community, past, present, and future, that people are unredeemable and that you cannot rise above your mistakes. I think that the good that Fulbright did outweighs the harm that he did, and that it is just as wrong to minimize the good parts of his legacy as to minimize the bad. In addition, I think there is an important distinction between Fulbright and some other historical figures who are being reevaluated. While I do believe that some monuments and namings were meant to intimidate minorites and/herald white supremacy, Fulbright is not one of those figures. He had a deep and undeniable connection to the university and much, though not all, of his work helped with the realization of the ideals of the university. He is arguably the most influential graduate of the University, and I think his actions during the Civil Rights movement are not the defining features of the legacy of J. William Fulbright. I think removing the statue and renaming the college would be intellectually dishonest. This is the University of Arkansas. Arkansas, like Fulbright, has a complex and nuanced history. The same goes for the University itself. Defining Fulbright by his mistakes is the same as defining the state only by failings or defining the university only by poor decisions it has made. Action by the university to distance itself from Fulbright would, frankly, smack of hypocrisy. Finally, I think removing Fulbright would cause more damage to an already injured relationship the University has with a decent part of the population of Arkansas. As an Arkansan I have often found the University to seem to care what the wider world thinks of it rather than what Arkansans think of it. I have often felt that many administrators and faculty at the university look down upon the state, almost as if they wish it weren't in Arkansas. As a student, I often found the university to be uncaring and beholden, frankly, to making as much money as possible. I believe a decent chunk of my fellow Arkansans feel the same. Action to remove Fulbright would only add to this felling and lessen the connection I have with the University, particularly if Brough or Fulbright are replaced with individuals who effectively bought their way into recognition.</p>
--------	--	--	---	--

Alumni	I was in the 7th grade in 1957 and was aware of the Little Rock Central situation. Of course at that age, I did not understand much of it or what it was all about. Fast forward to 1963, I was a freshman at UA. Thus I lived in the Fulbright era. I can not say I agreed with many of his positions but I was always proud of him and proud that that he was an Arkansan. He was our senator and he had to represent the people of his state. It was his job! Removing images of the past does not change the past. It only increases our ignorance of the past. I hope that the UA does not stand for that. Perhaps we should tear down Little Rock Central as well. That too would remove a memory of the past and increase ignorance.	See comments above.	See comments above.	I am not ashamed that UA is considering this action. However I will be very much ashamed that an institution of higher learning takes action to hide the truth.
Select one		I think y,Âall should do a livestream podcast, featuring both higher ups in Fulbright and a few students with different opinions, and discuss everything in this large and confusing conversations. That would also give the chance for students and residents to ask questions in the chat and get a response instantly. I feel like all I,Âve seen so far is y,Âall ask ,Âúwhat,Âs y,Âall,Âs opinion on this?,Â without any actual public conversation and that really needs to change. Personally, I,Âm for keeping the Fulbright name, statue and etc, but if y,Âall are truly thinking of making a change, then a public discussion should be held.		
Select one	Without him I wouldn't be able to study in the U.S.			

Alumni		<p>Good afternoon Dean. I am Tommy May, former trustee and graduate of the Business School in ,Äð71/,Äð72. I just want to be counted among those that favor Keeping Senator J. William Fullbright name and statue as part of the School of Arts and Sciences. While there are others that may want to remove those references of Senator Fulbright, and i respect their opinions, I simply believe that Senator Fulbright not only did so much for our State and Nation, but his legacy of fair play and proactive service for democracy, justifies and warrants this recognition. While there are many reasons others may oppose that recognition ,due to some historical events, we cannot remove or not recognize great Americans for being a part of Americas worst days. If so, an argument can be made to remove virtually every dedicated building in America. Some of our greatest African American leaders have done or said things that might require the same type of reaction of removing their names and statues from some of our most historical structures, including Universities, etc. While I share the desire to make things right, we will never do so by eliminating reference to many of our Countries founding fathers and many of our great Americans who were part of growing up in a society that condoned racist tones and racist beliefs. We can,Äôt erase history, we must grow from learning and understanding why it should never be a part of our future. Thanks for your consideration.</p>		
Alumni	Not at all	<p>None. Statue should remain. It,Äôs part of history. More context should be taught if needed. You can,Äôt erase history. Only learn from it.</p>	None...same as above	See above
Alumni	Fulbright is an important figure in our history and made substantial contributions.	<p>It is an imperative that we keep Fulbright's presence as part of our legacy. Erasing parts history because 'we don't agree with them' in not how this works. History is history - and we learn and GROW from all parts.</p>		

Alumni	<p>Senator Fulbright brought honor and respect to our state for his many years of work as Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. Senator Fulbright, along with Senator McClellan, gave our state an unprecedented position of political power for many years. There is no question that the selection of a site in Jacksonville for a new Air Force Base in 1953 would likely not have happened without his involvement. The base has been a huge economic boost to our state for the last 65+ years since its opening in 1955 and all of us in Central Arkansas have benefitted from it.</p>	<p>I have always admired Senator Fulbright and was particularly impressed that he had been chosen to serve as President of the University at such a young age. His service to the University, his passion for his Alma Mater, his service to our state and nation as a Senator, and his name recognition worldwide merited the naming of the Fulbright College and the placement of his statue near the Peace Garden on campus. I will be very disappointed in the University's leadership if they choose to bow to a vocal minority of students or alumni who want to remove his presence from the U of A campus. He deserves better!</p>	<p>While I had the pleasure (?) of dining in Brough Commons while on campus in the late 1960's, I never knew who Charles Brough was or why his name was on the facility. Obviously, at the time the building was constructed, those who knew him and knew of his accomplishments considered him worthy of such recognition. Who are we now, not having ever known him, to say that he is somehow not worthy of such recognition?</p>	<p>I think his name should be left on the facility because we should respect the judgment of those involved in the decision to acknowledge his contribution to the University at that time. They obviously had much more information with which to make such a decision.</p>
Alumni	<p>It has not directly, but certainly as a graduate of the University of Arkansas, there is always acknowledgement when I travel nationally and globally about my alma mater being associated with Fulbright.</p>	<p>I believe the UofA needs to find a way to educate on the full, diverse, complicated, amazing, whole life of a human being that has had a tremendous impact on this campus, this state, this nation, and this world. We need to speak honestly and openly about all of who he was...but destroying the good due to the complicated is not the answer. We have to learn to evaluate a whole life...and accept that no person, no human is perfect or has or will ever live perfectly. That is the bigger lesson. It also will prevent hero worship - from a Jerry Jones to a Fulbright to a fill-in-the-blank - all complicated, imperfect humans.</p>	<p>I didn't know who he was or that the Commons was named after someone. Having researched his history, I can't believe we would name a building after him in the first place...and wonder why it can't simply be named The Commons.</p>	<p>I think there is a significant difference between the life and legacy of Fulbright vs. Brough. I also think we should not try to "easy button" these decisions, but have the long, arduous, hard conversations. We need to know our history and learn from it.</p>
Alumni	<p>I've always taken pride in Fulbright's achievements as an Arkansan and a U of A graduate.</p>	<p>If we only look at the "sins" of people, there should be no statues, building names, or college names on college campuses or anywhere else.</p>	<p>Based on his participation in the events of 1919 in Phillips County, the Brough name would be more likely to be removed from the campus than the Fulbright statue or name.</p>	
Alumni	<p>I feel certain that the actions that Senator Fulbright took and the role he played in the early days of the U of A made the university a better place for me, as a student, to attend.</p>	<p>I am proud that leaders in past generations chose to recognize and honor Senator Fulbright for his contributions to higher education in Arkansas as well as the establishment of the Fulbright Scholarship. I honestly feel that we are in a time in which the culture needs to step back and reassess the knee-jerk movement to remove memorials and recognitions of individuals who were leaders in their own time before making long-lasting changes to those things which have held place around us for many years.</p>	<p>In answering this I refer back to my answer in the previous box. I see no reason to diminish Charles Brough's presence on the campus. I also feel certain that some of the past contributions to the life we have at the University would not be there were it not for people like Brough.</p>	
Alumni				

Alumni	It has not had an impact on me personally.	Now that I have learned about his background and legacy, it is a difficult situation. He did great things, however, it can not be overlooked that he voted against policies that would promoted the advancement of African Americans. What I'm not clear on is, does any documentation or an explanation exist as to why he voted the way he did? One thing in his favor is the reference to a letter he received from Dr, Martin Luther King Jr., that praised him as a voice of reason. I think the statue should stay and be recontextualized and the his name to remain on the school. Once we arrive at a solution, I do feel that an additional exhibit be constructed to further explain his legacy and explain how and why the committee arrived at its conclusion. If the statue is removed, this exhibit shall be placed in the area where the statue was located and inside Old Main. Additionally, the same explanation needs to be in placed on any public website and material produced for the school.	I had no previous knowledge of Governor Brough. Brough's past is a bit more checkered than Senator Fulbright's based upon his actions at the time of the Elaine Race Riots and subsequent cover-up by state officials. In his case, we have more concrete and specific negative actions. His name should be removed from Brough Commons.	I thank the University of Arkansas for the foresight in handling this most difficult situation in a very well thought out and organized plan. It will be difficult and I can only pray we come to a reasonable and equitable decision for all parties. Tim Higginbotham BSBA 1987
Alumni	He has traditionally been a respected leader in Ark history.	I believe it is a positive statement.		
Alumni		Keep it!	Keep it!	No

Staff	<p>I can say, without hesitation, that the legacy of Senator J. William Fulbright defined my professional career at the University of Arkansas and continues to guide my work as a volunteer in retirement.</p> <p>I moved to Arkansas in 1979 as a newlywed without a clear vocation and through good fortune fell into a graduate assistantship that introduced me to international education. In 1982, I attended the dedication of the J. William Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences, and I remember deciding then that my work would be dedicated to promoting international understanding and the legacy of peace through education inspired by Senator Fulbright. It took a few years to position myself to be able to walk into the Fulbright Institute of International Relations and offer to help build a study abroad program, using Fulbright College as the home base but to serve the whole campus. With limited resources, it was fairly easy to reach out to successful study abroad program providers who leapt at the chance to work with the institution that could claim Senator Fulbright as their legacy. Not only was his vision my driver, but his powerful reputation brought me instant credibility in the field. That never changed.</p> <p>One of my greatest joys was serving as the campus Fulbright Program Advisor for more than 25 years. I worked with countless number of students to encourage applications for the U.S. Student Fulbright Program and mentor them through the campus review process. By design, the program embraces diversity and inclusion, provided anyone seriously interested in this program can show a spark, motivation, open mindedness, and passion. That is what I looked for. Every successful grantee from the University of Arkansas felt a special pride representing the institution claiming the name of the program,Âs founder. And on the flip side, I became acquainted with hundreds of Fulbright students and scholars coming to Fayetteville from all over the world who immediately upon</p>	<p>So,Â¶ did I think long and hard about Senator Fulbright,Âs role in Arkansas and his voting record on civil rights? No, I really didn,Ât. I have not felt the sadness or the anger expressed by many individuals within our campus community. When I walk by the Fulbright statue and the Fulbright Peace Fountain, it has always been a source of pride. With apologies, I understand now better than I did before that I am white privilege,Â¶ and that is something I now think about every day.</p> <p>I think we have an obligation to tell his story and to tell the full story. I do not see an educational value in making him disappear. He is a man who picked his battles, and they were good battles, and possibly he did not consider the fact that he would be judged by history for the battles he chose not to fight. Or maybe he knew this might happen. I keep wondering what he would say should be done if asked today.</p> <p>I would hope for his statue to be preserved and contextualized, whether or not it remains where it is or is moved to a less prominent location.</p> <p>I would hope for Fulbright College to retain the name. After all, around the world, ,Â¶Fulbright,Âù is a powerful and positive brand,Â¶ it is a noun. And Arkansas still has a lot to be proud of.</p> <p>Sincerely, DeDe Long, Emeritus Director of Study Abroad and International Exchange</p>		
-------	--	--	--	--

	<p>arrival felt a special privilege to be hosted by the home institution of the Senator.</p> <p>As a host to many researchers who came to spend time in Special Collections and to delve into the Fulbright papers and the scholarship program records provided by the Bureau of Education and Cultural Affairs, I can tell you that they left impacted, inspired and refreshed that so much information is available. It is a treasure trove for research in international education.</p> <p>Simply put, the University of Arkansas is on the world stage because of this genius idea that has led to opportunities for study, research and teaching abroad for more than 350,000 students and scholars.</p>			
Alumni	<p>During the sixties Senator Fulbright was the clear voice for progressives. He took on what was initially viewed as an extreme position on the Vietnam War, but was ultimately proven to have better insight than most of the disastrous effects of that war. He was a selfless servant of education and Arkansas and ultimately of civil rights.</p>	<p>We should absolutely keep and honor Mr. Fulbright's contribution via the School and the statue. If we adopt the view of only recognizing individuals who are judged to have been perfect with 50 years of hindsight, then we will end up with no continuing culture and no names on any of our buildings. For sure teach the context of Mr. Fulbright's history. We must learn from history.</p>		

Student	<p>I am a teaching assistant in the English department I have taught four classes on English Rhetoric and Composition that have benefited from the presence of foreign exchange students who were able to attend the university thanks to the Fulbright program.</p> <p>They were some of my best students and both myself and their classmates would not have gained from their unique experience without the program that he had created.</p> <p>Also, as a graduate student several of my classmates are also foreign exchange students and so would not be able to attend classes here without Fulbright's program.</p>	<p>I think the name of the college should remain if only as an acknowledgement of the services the man has provided to the University, the state of Arkansas and the United States as a whole. More context in classes should always be welcome because it shows how we have benefited from his work as well as the mistakes we must correct.</p> <p>If leaving the statue in place is not an option, then what about moving it to the international student's office? After all many of them are here because of the program he created.</p>	<p>As an undergraduate I studied at NWACC and The UofA and most of my instructors, as well as many of my classmates, were women and he did help to empower them even before it was part of the constitution.</p>	<p>Simply removing Fulbright and Brough from campus I feel would be both foolish and dangerous. It is foolish in that it suggests an over simplification of history; that it is simply a matter of 'good guys and bad guys.' It is dangerous in that holds people to an impossible standard, that they have to be a perfect paragon of virtue and if they have single flaw then all they have built should be torn down.</p>
Student	It has not had a significant impact on me	It should stay where it is.	It should stay where it is.	
Other	<p>I would like to preface that what I am about to share with you is both personal and professional and that the impact of Fulbright programs, and the legacy of J. William Fulbright's international scholarship program has been important for our institute and for the University of Arkansas academically, intellectually, socially, culturally, and economically. In many ways we are in essence the keeper of the Fulbright legacy. That said however, means that we have a responsibility to shed light on the Fulbright the man with not only accolades for the Fulbright Scholarship program's inception, but to share his foibles, missteps, shortcomings, and faults, and to learn and to teach from that. My thoughts below, regarding J. William Fulbright's presence on campus seek compromise, full disclosure, contextualizing, and opportunities for growth as an institution and as an example for other communities and institutions. What follows here is my experience with the Fulbright Scholarship programs and grantees. Since 1993, I have had the honor to work with over 1200 Fulbright grantees from over 58 countries. As the director of Spring International Language Center at the University of Arkansas, I have been designing and delivering Fulbright English language courses and programs for degree-seeking grantees; offering teacher training workshops</p>	<p>While I understand the challenge imbedded in this question, I would like to see a compromise given the Senator's enormous contribution to international education, and the impact of the Fulbright scholarship globally, while also acknowledging the flawed history of Fulbright the man and his "Faustian" choice to not support the Voting Rights Amendment in order to preserve his Senate seat. He was, in case of fact, an active part of the segregationist south. I do not condone or excuse this, nor would I have supported his action. Quite ironically, the Fulbright Scholarship which he founded, fosters global diversity and inclusion, and intentionally crosses race and gender lines to bring people of all colors and backgrounds together, to open minds and hearts, and to acknowledge our basic humanity. The scholarship challenges scholars, their belief systems, their assumptions, and creates opportunities for breaking down barriers and shattering stereotypes and assumptions. The scholarship creates opportunities for students, scholars, and teachers to meet and exchange ideas, and share cultures and perspectives. The scholarship creates life-changing experiences for not only the scholars, but on those they encounter here and abroad. The paradox of this resonates loudly and painfully. And so, as we examine the irony of his legacy, for the good and the bad, so we should find a compromise that both exposes his silence and flaws and his</p>		<p>Thank you for this opportunity to share my thoughts. I do not envy your task, but I appreciate that this process is in place.</p>

	<p>for Fulbright Foreign Language Teaching Assistants, Secondary English as Foreign Language teachers, and University Junior Faculty; and Enrichment Seminars for Entry and Re-entry in Leadership, Professional development, and Cross-Cultural communications. All of these programs have socio-cultural community components with cohort building and student interactions. They also have components on U.S government and institutions, history, civil rights, racism, gender discrimination, and LGBTQ rights. A passport is a powerful key to self-awareness and opening minds. These programs have allowed me to have personally observed the transformational and multiplier impact on the grantees, and among our domestic students on campus, and in our community through visits to the public schools and our hospitality family programs. I see the results as these grantees return home and become diplomats, world leaders, teachers, and professors, researchers, social entrepreneurs, and change-makers in their home countries. Without question, the positive personal and global impact on these scholars is palpable. The presence of these scholars brings the world to our campus and community and exposes us to people and places we have often not heard of, or only have stereotypical images of. They become our friends and colleagues and we learn together and about each other. I constantly hear and read comments from Fulbright scholars who describe their time here as a life-changing experience. I hear the same from Americans who have been abroad on Fulbright grants when they return home. The value of Fulbright programs is undeniable.</p>	<p>accomplishments for peace through education. In the case of the Fulbright statue, I would take it off its pedestal, possibly move it, and definitely provide contextual information on the site to be determined. Let it stand as a lesson and a reality check on his transgressions. As regards the College's name, I would keep the Fulbright name and be sure that the content and history of J. William Fulbright be fully integrated into the curriculum and taught in classes. We must not excuse the man, but we must also recognize the value his life's work. By shedding light on Fulbright's segregationist past, we also learn lessons on wrongs that have been committed, and not forget them in hopes to not repeat them: recognizing the importance of history. His story stands as an important lesson. I believe that we have an obligation as an academic institution to continue and to open the dialogue to better understand our own history, offenses of the past, and to learn from them by recognizing inequities to be better ourselves. And again. to acknowledge that the Fulbright name also symbolizes our understanding of our basic humanity, of the challenges of cross-cultural communication, and potential for peace and reconciliation. At the heart of the Fulbright Scholarship is so often light at the end of the tunnel.</p>		
Faculty	<p>Yes, especially as a Fulbright scholar. His influence is important and has a role not only for me personally but also for my teaching. His legacy had/has a huge impact on intercultural competence.</p>	<p>See above. I understand that his legacy could also create negative tension on campus. If students feel uncomfortable about the Fulbright legacy, that needs to be seriously considered.</p>	<p>Change the name. I do not see positive arguments to keep it. It is different from the Fulbright situation.</p>	
Alumni	<p>I knew him and and have read some of the books about him and his life and accomplishments. I was a member of the University of Arkansas official delegation that attend his very moving ceremony (funeral) in</p>	<p>In my opinion he has been one of Arkansas best and we should be very proud of what he accomplished during his lifetime and not try to rewrite history. The name Fulbright College and</p>	<p>Did not know Charles Brough or his history so I do not have an opinion.</p>	<p>None</p>

	in 1995 where President Bill Clinton delivered the eulogy in the Washingtons National Cathedral that ended with Aaron Coplands Fanfare for the Common Man.	his statute on the campus should be retained. His history should be taught.		
Alumni				<p>Dean Shields:</p> <p>Please consider this email as my comments relative to the University,Âs formal request to receive input from alumni re. Senator Fulbright,Âs (JWF) presence on our campus.</p> <p>I grew up in Little Rock during the time JWF was a U.S. Senator. Early on in my education I developed a keen interest in U.S. government. I saw, very first hand, that the state of Arkansas was not very respected in our country and had a self esteem issue. We were literally made fun of way too often. I was hurt by by these feelings, for I had developed a love for my state that was driven by my father,Âs passion for Arkansas. My father was a native, a life long resident, and a career banker who knew the state.</p> <p>JWF,Âs well documented accomplishments, in large measure, helped defeat these feelings. JWF did more than any public figure in the history of the state to bring honor, prestige, and recognition to Arkansas.</p> <p>JWF,Âs presence on campus should remain as is. He was voting the will of his constituents when he voted against the civil rights legislation in the 1950,Âs. But, he later voted for the civil rights legislation engineered by LBJ. And, along the way, he proactively developed a healthy dialogue with Martin Luther King.</p> <p>JWF changed his mind. Isn,Ât that his privilege ? This, in the eyes of many, contributed to him losing his Senate seat in the next election.</p> <p>He never made speeches, wrote white papers, or was in any protests in support of racism. But, he lived in a time within a society and culture that supported racism. As time passed, he changed his mind on this. Many in the South did. I certainly did.</p> <p>A plethora of distinguished leaders of our country had blemishes in their beliefs. Many of these are recognized with statues, and edifices and entities named after them.</p>

				<p>We can,Äôt change history. We need to learn from it !!</p> <p>The most constructive thing to do is proactively continue to explore and implement measures to defeat racism on our campus. I applaud our actions to date in this regard. We must do more.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>John C. Reap</p>
Staff	test	test	test	test
Student	It hasn,Äôt	It shouldn,Äôt be on campus. He stood for racist ideals.	He also shouldn,Äôt be on campus. He held racist ideals.	I feel bad for students of color who have to be reminded of the terrible things that Fulbright and Brought did years ago. There is no reason that this school should recognize those men. Humanity should be more important than money.

Student	Fulbright's legacy has not directly impacted me, but I can understand how it may have impacted students of marginalized communities.	I personally think that as a public space of education Fulbright should not have a presence on our campus. The university should be actively or passively endorsing the life of Fulbright who regardless of his career and service to the state of Arkansas, believed that African Americans were lesser and needed to be separated from white people. His work actively fought against the interests of people of color and his statue and name are continual reminders of the work he did. While these reminders might be nice for white students, that is extremely insensitive and painful for students of color. We cannot claim to be a university that is committed to diversity and inclusion efforts if we endorse the actions of an author of the Southern Manifesto. The University of Arkansas is now faced with a clear choice, we cannot call the presence of Fulbright on campus a passive endorsement any longer. His actions and legacy have been brought to light, the students who feel disturbed by his presence on campus have made their voices heard. If this university hears these voices and still chooses to do nothing it will be an active endorsement of our history of racism and hate, and a message to people of color that this university still does not support them. The statue must be removed and relocated. I also believe that the college should have it's name changed as well. If it's about money, it shouldn't be. The money is there to be found, there are other Arkansans with big names and legacies who I'm sure would jump at the chance to name a college.	Charles Brough's legacy is less well-known, but all the same the University of Arkansas has to ask the same question. Is having this name on our campus a tacit endorsement of racist figures and racism in general? Charles Brough's time as governor saw the escalation of the atrocious massacre of Elaine. This event isn't discussed enough as it is when being taught Arkansas history in our states high schools and Universities, particularly in mostly white communities. Charles Brough played a role in the murder of hundreds of African Americans and as such the University should not be publicly endorsing his legacy.	I urge the members of this committee to bear in mind the mission of The University of Arkansas in its entirety. "The University of Arkansas is determined to build a better world by providing transformational opportunities and skills, promoting an inclusive and diverse culture, nurturing creativity, and solving problems through research and discovery, all in service to Arkansas." We cannot promote segregationists and racists while at the same time promoting an inclusive and diverse culture. This isn't about erasing history, its about learning from it. Fulbright and Brough are not leaders from our state's history that we should hope to see again. We must strive to be better than they were. If the future leaders of this state are to come from this university then we must not idolize bigotry and hate. Changing nothing doesn't help anyone. Taking action will. I urge the committee to advocate for the removal of racist figures and names from this campus so that the University can take measurable steps in its mission to better the state and promote an inclusive and diverse culture. Rise to meet the moment or cower to the side and let history write your story as it has for these men.
Student	I feel it negatively represents me as a student to attend a school with the name of someone with a poor history.	The statue should be removed as well as changing the name. I feel both of these poorly represent our institution as a whole. We should not condone nor celebrate the legacy of this man.	We should remove his name. He was literally a part of the one of the deadliest racial conflicts in all of U.S. history. Celebrating him by honoring his name on campus is ridiculous. We should be better.	

Student	<p>It has impacted me tremendously. Every single time I walk by his statue, I think what a terrible representation of the university and overall thinking. It has taken decades of hard work and effort for our ancestors to get here, and seeing a reminder of one of the people who wanted to set people back in their fight for justice is not okay. I hate talking about inclusiveness and diversity while knowing we have a statue and a college named after a person who bluntly contradicts those views. I am an Indian woman who was born here in America. While Indians may not have had that many struggles as other people of color, we have had a few. Seeing someone idolized for such beliefs is a shame and bad reflection of our community and university.</p>	<p>I believe the statue of J. William Fulbright should be taken down. The statue is a representation of the years of oppression African American people have had to face and it should not be on our campus. We have so many talks about diversity and representation, but no actions to speak for it. The name of the college should be changed, preferably to a man or woman of color. Everywhere you go in the university, there are signs of impact of white men before us, but what about women and people of color? There has got to be somebody in the university's history who is an accurate representation of the university and the college who is of color or a woman. Fulbright's history should not be taught in classes. While I fully support acknowledgement of people's beliefs, whether in the past or in the present, we have to first acknowledge the creators/innovators of change.</p> <p>Arkansas is full of such rich history, we have the Little Rock 9 here which made national news, how about that for a statue? Or Rosa Parks, Marie Curie, Harriet Tubman, etc?</p>	<p>His name should be removed from the Charles Brough Commons. Enough is enough. This university has prioritized white men with racist beliefs for too long. History has been an indicator of this and it is now time to change history and become a more inclusive university. Not only that, a lot of students who go to Brough Commons are members of the Honors College, a college that is considered more intellectual and open-minded. Charles Brough is not an example of that. I refuse to believe that this university has not produced a single person who has succeeded in life and was not racist.</p>	<p>I encourage members of this committee to think with an open mind. Our people are hurting and having the presence of people like Fulbright and Brough will not help the healing, instead it will injure others. Please change it so this university can seem more inclusive. It is about time we had more attention put on women and people of color.</p>
Student	<p>Sen. Fulbright served at the highest levels of national politics for some of the most tumultuous decades of American history, and it makes sense that a legacy such as his would be such a complicated one. When I think of the Fulbright legacy, I think of his support for education and international cooperation. I believe that this has given me and my fellow students many academic and scholarship opportunities. However, I do also understand how his obstruction of Civil Rights led to pain and harm in the lives of real people.</p>	<p>Because Sen. Fulbright's legacy is both so consequential and so complex, I think that it is important that we understand and recognize all aspects of it. I think that this reexamination has created a perfect opportunity to do just that. I believe it is possible keep the statue and the college name while also re-contextualizing them. I think that the campus could provide a plaque or other signage near to the statue that details various aspects of the Senator's legacy, both good and bad, in order to provide better context to viewers. I also think that more context of Fulbright's legacy could be taught in classes. I think that perhaps even a seminar course on the Fulbright legacy could be offered in order to help contextualize Fulbright's legacy on our university community, state, and country.</p>	<p>I don't know enough about Governor Brough and his legacy to have an informed opinion on the presence of his name on our campus.</p>	

Student	Personally, he hasn't had a huge impact on me, but He contributed to the political nature in Arkansas that tends to be non inclusive of many groups of people, which lingers today.	I think it's wrong to glorify a man who supported segregation and was against brown v board. He was blatantly racist and that shouldn't be what this university stands for. So yes, I support renaming Fulbright, as well as removing the statue, or if they must keep it, at least putting somewhere that doesn't glorify it and changing the plaque in order to clarify that we recognize that he stood for extreme racism, which the university should be against.	I think it's absurd that we have a building named after a man who lead the troops that killed 237 black people because they wanted to unionize. That's atrocious and I think that we need to reconcile with our history by acknowledging it and not glorifying people who were involved in terrible acts like this.	These people do not represent our culture, and if they did, I wouldn't want to be here and I'm sure a lot of people would agree with me. We can do better than this. There are so many better Arkansans who have done much better things and deserve the honor of being recognized on campus.
Student		Remove his name from all of those titles and get rid of the statue.	Remove his name & rename these spaces to honor better people.	
Student	Because I am not a black student, having that kind of presence does not affect me like it does the black students here at UARK. However, as an LGBTQ student, I can empathize with my black peers on the threat of having someone who denied your humanity being regarded as a figure of aspiration.	When I first became a student at the University of Arkansas, I was completely unaware of Senator Fulbright's legacy and impact. It was not until this past semester that I became aware of the things he advocated for and stood by, and was absolutely horrified that the school I love so much would allow that kind of presence on campus. While Senator Fulbright was nationally regarded for some of his political contributions, that is vastly overshadowed by his consistent and enthusiastic denial of allowing Black Americans to have the most basic civil rights. I think the college name should changed and the statue be moved to a spot in the Union or a museum with a historical contextualization of who Senator Fulbright was. I believe that Silas Hunt or Bill & Hillary Clinton (when she was a law professor) would be a few Arkansans who made a huge impact on our history that are deserving of having a statue or our biggest college named after them. I think specifically the college should become ,ÁBill and Hillary Clinton School of Arts and Sciences,À and that Silas Hunt should have a statue.	Charles Brough is a much more complex person. For the most part, he acted in support of civil rights, considering it was because of him that Arkansan women were the only ones in the South that could vote prior to the 19th Amendment, and he publicly supported anti-lynching laws. But, the Elaine Race Riot is a very heavy and complex situation. I would suggest talking to black students more so than non-black students about Brough specifically, simply because I can't offer a fully conclusive opinion in the place of someone who has the experience of being black.	N/A
Alumni	As a UofA Alum I am proud to have the Fulbright name connected to our university.	It is laughable that in this day and age people are so overly progressive. J William Fulbright was an extremely inclusive and progressive person for his time. Times change and people lose sight of what was the status quo in former times.	This survey is fucking stupid. Charles brough was obviously a good man.	Find something better to do with my tax dollars than spending it on attempts at dragging dead Arkansan leaders legacies through the mud. -Daniel Allen Class of 2020

Select one			Charles Brough's name should be removed from Brough dining hall. His legacy, like many others, is a stinging reminder of local, historical leadership that put the interests of the white majority over the humanity and vulnerability of Black Arkansans and Americans, as an extension. The use of his name in Chartwells imagery and marketing should also be halted and removed. More intention and thought should be given to the names we give our buildings on campus and how those names will age into the years to come.	
Student	J. William Fulbright's legacy has not impacted me personally, but his legacy has impacted that of my friends, who are people of color.	I think the statue should be removed. It is an insult to Black students, faculty, and community members. I also think the college name should be changed. I am a student in the Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences and it's shameful to have to say the name of the college. If it's necessary to teach about Fulbright's history then teach ALL of his legacy.	Remove his name. It's 2020 for goodness sake! If the university is committed to its Black students, faculty, and community members then it's time to go all in and support them in every possible way. This means removing the presence of racists on campus.	
Student	I am in the International Studies program and the foundation for my major,Âs curriculum is centered around Fulbright and his writings. We were taught all of his strides toward international education while his domestic legacy of racism was swept under the rug. The uneven teachings of the man whose name is on my college have contributed to a sense of shame I have in earning a diploma that bears his name.	The name of the college should certainly change, and the statue should come down. I think it would be fine to have a memorial plaque that acknowledges all aspects of his legacy, but his likeness doesn,Ât need to be displayed on campus.	Remove Charles Brougths name from the commons	
Student	it hasn't	I dont want a segregationist's name all over campus or on my degree. let's celebrate achievements of people worth celebrating	considering he was the cause of the elaine race riots? get rid of him too	dont think that just getting rid of the names of two men on campus means you're done making changes to make students of color feel safer. let's also stop using silas hunt as some kind of positive story when he was treated like garbage. tell his whole story or keep his name out of your mouth

Student	<p>J. William Fulbright,Âs legacy has no positive impact on me or the campus as a whole. Fulbright signed the Southern Manifesto, he was against the Civil Rights Act and the Voting Rights Act, and he spoke out about his support for segregation. To be clear, this man was racist. His legacy is not one this university should be proud of.</p>	<p>Seeing the presence of Fulbright on this campus only reinforces the many thoughts that this university does not care about its Black students and that it plans to do nothing but set up useless committees to act like it does. There is no point of these many committees and Town Hall,Âs when the university is still doing nothing about one of the biggest problems that can simply be removed. We understand that it is a ,Âúgift,Âù given to the university and a name of a Senator of Arkansas, but this man,Âs views conflict with those of the University of Arkansas. For a university who is constantly screaming ,ÂúDiversity,Âù, there sure is a lot of racists names plastered across the campus. That sets a student up for failure because we don,Âôt see the names of successful Black individuals plastered hardly anywhere. And how is a Black student supposed to feel like they can successfully get the Fulbright Scholarship or graduate from the Fulbright College, when Fulbright himself didn,Âôt want them to. It,Âs not rocket science to see how this can impact your Black students. And people around the world know of all of the bad that Fulbright did and stood for, I myself have given tours and heard whispers from Black students and their families and I guarantee they didn,Âôt decide to come here...A name is impacting enrollment and the way current Black students feel everyday on this campus. Please do away with it and look more carefully when choosing the next.</p>	<p>Everything I said before, stands the same here. This man is the reason for one of the deadliest racial battles in U.S. HISTORY! How embarrassing it is to have his name on our campus! Honestly, if you have to make a form and a committee to talk about this mans name on our most popular dining hall, then there,Âs an obvious problem and his name should be removed immediately. There are so many people who have less controversial legacies, let,Âs choose one of them! I,Âm sure the students on this campus would be more than happy to help with that process. Action will be the true determination of whether this campus actually stands with ALL of their students or not.</p>	<p>The idea of teaching the full history of J. William Fulbright in order to keep his name and statues up on our campus is actually embarrassing. In no way would that do any good. It would just be a constant reminder to students that he doesn,Âôt stand with diversity and inclusion and people would continue to wonder why his name is everywhere.</p>
---------	--	---	---	---

Alumni	<p>I am a recent graduate under the Fulbright college. As a Black student that has grandparents that participated in the Civil Rights Movement, and has been educated in Black issues throughout history, along with my own personal experiences, it is frustrating that my college degree is tainted by the name of someone that was originally a staunch segregationist. It is difficult to be proud of my degree when I have to give credit to someone that didn't originally want me to attend this college anyway. The UofA is a PWI, and under Fulbright's original views, he would either not want me to have the right to a higher education at the best school in my state, or he would perhaps have wanted me to be treated like the UofA's first Black student. Forced to be taught in a basement away from White students. Not being able to use the same bathrooms, not having access to the library. I would not have been allowed an equal chance. I acknowledge that he seemed to changed his viewpoint on this topic during his life, but we should be taught the WHOLE story of Fulbright. Not just the good things that he did.</p>	<p>I think he should be recognized for the work he did for international students and the UofA overall. He is an important figure to the campus. I think the scholarship named for him should remain. I do not think that he should have a college named after him, forcing Black students to carry his name on a certificate that is supposed to represent their hard work and accomplishments. I also do not think he needs a statue in his likeness in front of perhaps the most recognizable building on the campus. Naming a college after him and placing his statue there tells Black students that the University doesn't care that this man was a segregationist. It tells us that the University doesn't care to acknowledge the racism that this man encouraged. Black students already have a hard enough time at a PWI. We don't need to see known racists seemingly praised at a place where we pay to get an education. The campus should be a safe place for all students. Other universities in our state, like UCA, have changed the names on their dorms and other buildings because of the ties to racism. The UofA should have no issue doing the same. I believe the statue should be removed and the name of the college should be changed. It could be named after Silas Hunt instead. I know I would be proud to carry a degree from a college named after someone that overcame the ugliness of racism. I think that the legacy of Fulbright should be taught in its entirety to students: the good and the bad. I think erasure from our campus would be wrong, but I don't believe removing the statue and changing the name of the college equates to erasure. I think he can have a plaque, and I think students should be taught about him.</p>	<p>Charles Brough presence on our campus makes me sick. In fact, I see his presence on our campus as being even worse than Fulbright's presence. The fact that I had to learn about his horrific wrongdoings on my own makes me even angrier, because it feels like the University doesn't want to acknowledge it. This man tried to cover up the massacre of Black Arkansans, and he gets a building named after him on our campus that I ate in? This man viewed the life of Black people as lesser, and he gets to be immortalized on our campus? Cared for with money from Black students? Absolutely not. His presence on the campus makes me feel like I should tell Black students that are beginning to apply for college to not come to the UofA, because they seem to respect racists here. What else would you call naming a building after a known racist? Especially one that covered up the massacre of Black people. I think his presence on our campus is vile. I think like Fulbright, he should be talked about. I think students should learn about him. But his name needs to be removed from the building immediately. It is way past due. If the University cares about the Black students, please show it.</p>	
Student	<p>Fulbright,Ãs legacy has not impacted me in a positive manner. His legacy offers nothing to the minorities on campus (scholarships, job opportunities) so there is no need for his representation.</p>	<p>If anything, his legacy tarnishes what the University claims to stand for: diversity, equity, and inclusion. Fulbright stood for racial inequality which negates the University,Ãs aims. Quite frankly, he does not deserve to be rewarded for his racist actions. His name should be removed completely from this campus. Rename the college in memory of Silas Hunt. Replace Fulbright,Ãs statue with Silas Hunt. Fulbright,Ãs history should not be taught in classes.</p>	<p>Charles Brough was another radical racist who,Ãs legacy does not represent,Ãs the campuses goal of fostering an inclusive and diverse environment. His name should be removed from Brough College. I would hate to be reminded of the racism this campus was built on.</p>	<p>Remove Fulbright and Brough,Ãs presence on campus. Rename it all and replace them with figures like Silas Hunt. He deserves it after all the University put Silas through.</p>

Student	I have no ties to his legacy.	It makes me uncomfortable that someone who opposed so many basic human rights is held in high standing at the university.	It makes me feel like uark is dismissing the struggles of minorities because Brough was good to white students in the past. He should have his name removed from buildings, and not be held in a high standing on campus.	
Student	The legacy of Fulbright represents one of racism and hate-which contradicts the goals and visions of our community. The legacy impacts us not only individually, but as a whole as well.	The presence of Fulbright,Âs legacy on our campus is a silent welcoming of racism at our beautiful college and prevents us from moving forward as an inclusive university welcome to all. As a community, we must face our mistakes and actively work against them to create a better future for the University of Arkansas.		
Student	In no way shape or form. That man destroyed a woman,Âs career in the senate and was a racist	He,Âs a racist and a sexis	Horrible	Change the damn names
Alumni	I,Âm a graduate of Fulbright,Âs college. Outside of that, professor Purvis talked at length about his work as Fulbright,Âs press secretary, and that was pivotal in my education.	I think that his image on campus should be better contextualized. His work on the Southern Manifesto demands it. However, he has had an impact on the modern US that isn,Ât entirely negative. His work in creating the United Nations was quality. His help with creating exchange student programs was imperative to how the school works today.With those positives, I feel it is hard to say get rid of his name across the school. But, with his opposition to Brown v. Board, I believe his legacy should absolutely be contextualized.	I would like to see a more deserving name take the place of Brough across campus. His part in the Elaine Race Riots is unforgivable.	If names were to be replaced, I would love to see more progressive people named. As old as our school is, tradition isn,Ât always the right way to go about these things, as our tradition as both Arkansans and Americans is often rife with bigotry and racism. Outside of Silas Hunt Hall, there is very little representation of women and people of color. I would love to see some names suggested from that milieu.
Student	As an African American woman, it makes me feel uncomfortable just to walk by it. The fact that in spite of Fulbright,Âs history, his removal is only a consideration is so frustrating because it reaffirms the implicit biases that exist in America.	It should be removed. With a gained understanding of his history, it has reaffirmed my observation of the same circumstance we find today for powerful prestigious white males in America where one,Âs moral character and history is ignored if an achievement is made.	As an African American, he is no hero in my eyes. He should be removed and the demographic and public figures promoted should be diversified. To know that years ago, he did not fulfill his role to protect people that look like me is so upsetting and to forget that, sweep it under the rug, and find it immeasurable is reinforces that racism ,Áúisn,Ât a big deal in America.,Âù This statue should also be removed.	
Alumni	This legacy hasn,Ât impacted me in a tangible way, however, much of that is because I am white and I have the privilege of not often thinking about these things. But it,Âs my duty as someone trying to be an ally to my fellow black community members, students and alumni, to stand up and say that this legacy is emotionally damaging.	I think culturally, were at a time where we need to be more intentional about who we pick to memorialize. While Fulbright has left a lasting legacy through the Fulbright program, it doesn,Ât eliminate his harmful acts as a senator. We are an intelligent community, capable of selecting historical figures who represent the entire UofA community, not just a segment. Don,Ât eliminate the history, but replace the statue and the name to someone more representative.	Remove. His actions and subsequent attempt to cover up and deflect blame is disgraceful. There are many other former governors who would be more appropriate.	

Student	<p>He created the Fulbright fellowship program, served in Senate for years, and was a dedicated public servant who brought a level of respectability to AR.</p>	<p>I want him to stay. Regardless of if he was any more or less racist than other southern politicians is irrelevant; His work to expand education and his career in public service warrant recognition.</p> <p>The college isn't named after him in honor of his being racist, so I don't see why his image should be taken away. Now, if he was like the confederate statues where the racism is the point of why he is being honored, this would be a different story. But if we are to throw out every person who did great things but not enough for minorities, I think every George Washington, every Thomas Jefferson, and almost every other statue for our history would be thrown out.</p> <p>It's about the intent for the honor of having our college named after him. The intent wasn't to honor racism. Frankly, I think our campus has more meaningful ways of addressing racism, sexism, transphobia, and other issues than this. Give real action, not symbolic renaming.</p>	I don't know enough about him to really care.	<p>Stop letting yourself off the hook with this symbolic nonsense. Actually make our campus more inclusive instead of focusing on renaming a building 99% of students don't care about renaming. Try putting in more gender neutral bathrooms, fund a race/sex discrimination clinic at our law school, pay our TA's more... something real and tangible.</p>
Alumni	<p>To be honest, it hasn't. Because I, as a white, and I never had to think about what the statue I passed every week behind Old Main had to do with my nonexistent oppression. I, as a white, am so much more concerned with how it feels for Black students to see that statue or the college name on their diploma and be reminded that their university glorifies a man who did what he could to limit Black opportunity and dignity.</p> <p>I do wonder, though, as society gains greater awareness of racist legacies, if my educational credentials might be worth less than others, as in a professional setting. Surely it will not reflect well on any of us Fulbright grads that we were educated by an institution that continues to idolize a racist man.</p>	<p>The statue should be removed. We have no need to glorify Fulbright. Replacing it with a statue of an important BIPOC contributor of the UofA is also an important discussion.</p> <p>I recognize that changing the name of the college is a more complicated endeavor, but I believe it should be changed. At minimum, Fulbright's racist legacy should be publicly recognized by the institution and taught in classes.</p>	This is so easy. Brough is not ingrained in the institution like Fulbright. Change the name.	
Select one	<p>Although the legacy of the segregationist senator J. William Fulbright has not personally impacted me as a white student, I have definitely seen what it does to my black and brown peers. It is altogether an insult to our collective diversity to have Fulbright's statue on our campus</p>	<p>Fulbright's presence on campus in any place of honor is a tacit endorsement of white supremacy, our original sin as a nation. There are other black and brown faculty who are ultimately more deserving of the honors. The statue of that racist senator should be removed at once. The name of the college itself should be changed as well, and a full contextual narrative of Fulbright and his</p>	<p>Brough was governor during the Elaine Race Massacre, one of the single most heinous assaults on Black America in modern American history. He intentionally sent in the national guard who ended up assisting in the murder of black sharecroppers. To cover for his crime, Brough had 75 persons arrested and imprisoned. He does not deserve to have his name etched above any place where people from all</p>	<p>In the year 2020, the year of George Floyd and Breonna Taylor, this shouldn't even be a matter of debate. It should have been a common sense decision. We cannot joyously live in a country where evildoers are given statues and the innocent are murdered in the streets with impunity.</p>

		misdeeds should be implemented into appropriate curriculum	backgrounds come together to eat, laugh, and enjoy one another,Ãs company. It must be changed.	UARK can help make a difference in doing the right thing.
Other		Before removing statues and names for buildings and such, one needs to be able to appreciate history from the time period of the individual and everything that encompasses that person and such accomplishments. While not a fan of J. William Fulbright or statuary in general, one shouldn't be trying to remove or rename something in the name of racial politics, identity politics, wokeism, or cancel culture. Everyone is flawed and many people from the past would look at us in the present with shame just as people in the present do about the past. If you remove one statue or name, you might as well remove all statues and names. A lot of this fervor comes today comes from Marxism and is like a false religion replacing Christian concepts with Marxist woke concepts meant to divide and put people into groups and subgroups. I beg the students to not go down this path and it just leads to more and more with never being happy or satisfied. Such removes will not fill any void or longings in one's heart. Those voids must be filled by Jesus Christ. We are all flawed, so students do not let the media, Hollywood, popular culture, social media, fellow students, professors, and staff members take you down this bad path and mislead you with distorted revisionist history. My background is in teaching history and people of all races have been done bad things in the name of power and whether rich, in the middle, or poor.More context of anyone's history is important.	Before removing statues and names for buildings and such, one needs to be able to appreciate history from the time period of the individual and everything that encompasses that person and such accomplishments. One shouldn't be trying to remove or rename something in the name of racial politics, identity politics, wokeism, or cancel culture. Everyone is flawed and many people from the past would look at us in the present with shame just as people in the present do about the past. If you remove one statue or name, you might as well remove all statues and names. A lot of this fervor comes today comes from Marxism and is like a false religion replacing Christian concepts with Marxist woke concepts meant to divide and put people into groups and subgroups. I beg the students to not go down this path and it just leads to more and more with never being happy or satisfied. Such removes will not fill any void or longings in one's heart. Those voids must be filled by Jesus Christ. We are all flawed, so students do not let the media, Hollywood, popular culture, social media, fellow students, professors, and staff members take you down this bad path and mislead you with distorted revisionist history. My background is in teaching history and people of all races have been done bad things in the name of power and whether rich, in the middle, or poor.	Before removing statues and names for buildings and such, one needs to be able to appreciate history from the time period of the individual and everything that encompasses that person and such accomplishments. While not a fan of J. William Fulbright or statuary in general, one shouldn't be trying to remove or rename something in the name of racial politics, identity politics, wokeism, or cancel culture. Everyone is flawed and many people from the past would look at us in the present with shame just as people in the present do about the past. If you remove one statue or name, you might as well remove all statues and names. A lot of this fervor comes today comes from Marxism and is like a false religion replacing Christian concepts with Marxist woke concepts meant to divide and put people into groups and subgroups. I beg the students to not go down this path and it just leads to more and more with never being happy or satisfied. Such removes will not fill any void or longings in one's heart. Those voids must be filled by Jesus Christ. We are all flawed, so students do not let the media, Hollywood, popular culture, social media, fellow students, professors, and staff members take you down this bad path and mislead you with distorted revisionist history. My background is in teaching history and people of all races have been done bad things in the name of power and whether rich, in the middle, or poor.Going down these paths only divides people further and does not heal collectively or individually. Show some restraint and understand the opposite perspectives on these matters and use common sense. You set a better example by often not tearing down the past and other and avoiding the protests which give grounds for rioting and incite riots and personal destructive behavior. Read the Bible and the writings of the early church fathers and get some perspective on history and doing things for the right reasons. Read stuff that is just not from liberal sources.
Student	It has disgusted me	It,Ãs problematic and nasty and racist. Remove his remains, name, and statue. Replace it with a	He,Ãs racist and a murderer. He instigated one of the toughest racial conflicts in American history. Remove his name!!!	Take it down! Why is this a controversy! Has the summer not impacted us as a whole?! Have the protests not served as a big wake up call?! Read the room!

		more ethical person in Uark history, preferably a woman of color.		
Student	As a white male, I recognize my racial inaction actively harms people around me. I see it as my duty to stand up to further (and past) injustices against other humans. Moreover, it pains me that the college I dearly love is represented by a man who did not support equal rights for all. While Fulbright,Âs legacy has not directly impacted me, it pains me to think that my fellow classmates feel uncomfortable standing beneath a statue enshrined in hateful values and ideology. This issue is about confronting our overt and covert racism; we should not glorify these antiquated beliefs any longer. The Fulbright Statue and namesake should be abandoned and replaced accordingly.	Several months ago, a petition circulated via social media regarding this exact issue. I am glad to see we are finally making (some) headway. It is my strong opinion that the Fulbright namesake and statue be removed from campus and replaced with a different figure. Namely, an individual who had the courage to stand up to systemic and oppressive racism and hate. It is shocking to think that a progressive college such as the Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences bears such an antiquated and unrepresentative name. It is my belief that the full context of J. William Fulbright be taught to our students; rather than blindly think he was a leader. The time has come to distance ourselves from the racist past that impedes our progress. Committee members, please consider your institution,Âs values of inclusion and acceptance and remove these markings of hate and divisiveness. While they might not directly impact you, there are some who walk this campus and feel the burden of modern racism and prejudice.	Similarly to Fulbright, Brough,Âs legacy represents a barrier to inclusion and equality. I think it reflects poorly on the institution to enshrine Brough in an area where students gather. Ironic, yes. However, going forward as a University that cares for its student,Âs wellbeing, this namesake should be changed to a figure who represents progressivism and equality in the southern United States. Namely, Arkansas, as this state runs rampant in extremism ideology that further divides us.	Thank you for your consideration towards this issue. It is my hope that we learn from our past mistakes, and move forward with progress and change in mind. Please justify your mission statement and goals with action. Remove enshrined racism from campus.
Student	While the college of arts and sciences had given me countless opportunities, it is a shame that such a racist man is associated with such a top-notch program. As much as I love being an undergrad alum and current grad student in the college of arts and sciences, his name tarnishes our college.	Hindsight is important, and I think the efforts being done now to remove his name and racist legacy is critical. While I can see the benefits he may have once brought to this institution, I think that the longer his name remains associated with this school, the more negatively it will be viewed. Most importantly, the longer the name remains, the more it will negatively impact our Black students and colleagues who have to see a constant reminder of the racism he perpetuated every single day. They should not be forced to go through that. I believe the statue should be removed. I do think we should continue to have the opportunity to learn about his racist legacy and understand why the change was made, and to show others not as familiar with Fulbright just how racist he was, despite what we may have been taught previously. The college name should also change. I would suggest naming it after a Black, Indigenous Person of Color that has had an impact on this institution. Point being, we need to remove Fulbrights name association with this college.	I also believe Brough,Âs name should be removed. His actions as governor, particularly with how he reacted to the Elaine Race Riot, are unforgivable and horrifying. He directly contributed to the mass slaughter of innocent Black Arkansans and no matter what else he did as governor, that action by itself should disqualify him from ever having his name on a building on our campus.	We don,Ât want to look back years down the road and regret doing nothing at a time when taking a stand against racism is so critical. That is why I wholeheartedly support the removal of the Fulbright and Brough names from the University of Arkansas campus. Don,Ât wait around and leave this as a stain on our legacy. We have the opportunity to be an example of change, and so I hope that the university will do what is right.

Alumni	Actually, he was a disgusting white supremacist. You are clearly showing you support such ideology.	Violent and traumatizing to students of color	See above	You need to be waiving all tuition and fees to black students as a small part of reparations. You also need to stop taking blood money from the Waltons. We see you.
Alumni	Shared Fraternity and College name. Have friends in the scholarship program.	Doesn't have to be there. We have plenty of other folks to celebrate. A fraternity would likely house it, otherwise go put it out by Bell and Dickson far away from the campus. Rename the college and move forward.	Remove it, many other people could have that place.	Rock the boat. Segregation was a sin, and supporters should be erased from our conscience.
Student	As a white student, I have not personally been affected by Fulbright,Âs legacy. However, as an ally to BIPOC on our campus, I cannot stand by and allow a person with such a controversial and racist past to be venerated on this campus that I love so much. I cannot imagine the pain so many feel when they realize that the very person who fought against their family years ago when all they wanted was equality and justice is still being venerated today.	I think that the removal of the Fulbright statue is absolutely necessary. I think the peace fountain should be renamed to remove Fubright,Âs name. I also think that the college should remove its name and just stand as the college of arts and sciences.	I believe that Charles Brough,Âs name should also be removed as it serves to venerate a twisted past that is both racist and senseless.	
Student		Fulbright,Âs legacy of working to obstruct the Brown v. Board decision, filibustering the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and voting against the 1965 Voting Rights Act, among other things, is a legacy of hate and racism. A racist should not represent the most diverse college, or any college, on campus. The name should be changed and the statue removed. Fulbright,Âs history should be taught in our classes so students and faculty can learn the whole picture of everything this man represented.	Charles Brough also leaves behind a legacy of hate and racism. During Brough,Âs governorship, the Elaine Massacre occurred as the response of local whites to Black sharecroppers organizing a union to prevent planters and landlords from cheating them out of the profits they produced.The Elaine Massacre was a direct result of Brough sending in the National Guard, who teamed up with white vigilantes, who then murdered over 200 Black Arkansans. Brough tried to cover up the atrocity, blaming the violence on the sharecroppers and denying the number of Black Arkansans who were killed. He sent 75 union members to prison after the massacre. Charles Brough,Âs name should be removed from the University of Arkansas campus.	
Student		I find it ironic that the university would push "peace through education" in a college named after a racist. While his historical legacy is complex, he should not have any presence on campus unless contextualized. The Fulbright College should endeavor to educate its students about his legacy and wrongdoings, especially if the name were to remain.	Remove his name. He deserves no recognition given his crimes against black Arkansans.	

Student	Being a student at the school of art im thankful to have received a scholarship to attend but there has been no other ways he has impacted me as a student or person.	I don't believe someone associated with the southern manifesto, a declaration opposing the brown amendment, co sponsored an amendment enacted to let soldiers chose to serve in racially integrated units, and voted against the 1965 voting act and opposed the 1964 Civil rights act, should be associated with the university as we promote racial equality and unity and he doesn't fit this standard. I think the statue should be removed and the name should be changed and his history should not be taught because he does not need to be made out to be someone he was not, his past affiliations and actions of racism need to be known.	I don't believe someone who tried to cover the Elaine Massacre, the killing of 200 black Arkansans by national guard and white vigilantes over share cropping, should be associated with the university. We promote racial diversity and equality and he does not fit the standard. I think his name should be removed from the commons.	There's so excuse to keep racist figures representing a college as diverse as the university of Arkansas. Please do not preach unity and equality but keep figures like this representing our school.
Alumni	My thoughts and views have little to do with his legacy but more to do with the real life experiences of growing up in a household with both parents having college degrees(1920's) and having personal knowledge of Senator Fulbright and his efforts to improve all conditions in the state. I do not think I ever met him in person but I have always had respect for his actions, personal demeanor and capabilities.	He belongs there if anyone does. I have several thoughts and some suggestions on these matters. I have reviewed the July committee meeting with Dr. Wolf and Dr. Shields and thought they were going in the right direction but never got there. I think there is a real opportunity to do something significant that could have far-reaching benefits to the university and avoid legal and future philanthropic pitfalls. The historical facts are there and the present social issues are not going away	no thoughts	
Student	I don't know that it has impacted me much other than the college I am in is called Fulbright. Though this may mean it has impacted my entire college education at the university of Arkansas. I hope to apply to be a Fulbright scholar as well, so that would be a major impact.	I have no issues with his presence. I think that he did a lot of good things and some things that people may consider offensive. I think it's important to remember that politics does not accurately reflect how someone truly is. Also, I think it's important for people to understand that the offenses they feel are based in their perceptions of identity. These identity politics are more divisive than helpful. Though, if the concern on campus is financial, then it would be wise to consider the financial implications of not removing the statue. Ultimately, this situation needs to keep the ultimate goal of the successful education of students in mind. To successfully educate, students must feel heard, safe, comfortable, accepted, and valued. If a Fulbright name/statue is hindering these feelings and the pro of leaving his presence is because of heritage/tradition, then I doubt Fulbright himself would support his presence on campus. Given he really did care about the success of education for Arkansans.	I ate at Brough commons once, so I have no biased opinions. I feel the same as my above comments. It's about accomplishing the goals of the institution, which I hope is primarily successfully educating students.	The identity politics propagated by college liberal extremism creates an internal milieu of fear of thinking outside the box, being creative, and speaking one's mind in a safe space. I am a dogged proponent of the underdog and I understand there have been prejudicial travesties in the past and things need to change to promote equal opportunity. I think it's important to expand our understanding of these issues from a holistic framework. Additionally, I think students need better socioemotional skill development (e.g. self- awareness development, emotional intelligence skills, compassion and understanding practice). It is not our experiences that shape how we feel, it is our interpretations of those experiences.

Alumni	I'm ashamed to tell people that the college I attended is named after someone with incredibly racist, hateful views. I hope the University of Arkansas discontinues it's use of Fulbright's name.	The various uses of J. William Fulbright's name implies that the University of Arkansas is paying homage to the individual. The statue in front of Old Main, for example, sends a wrong message since Fulbright was against integration. The statue belongs in a museum, and buildings/programs/colleges that use Fulbright's name should be re-named after someone who better represents the values of the university and Arkansas.	Like Fulbright, I do not believe Charles Brough reflects the values of the University of Arkansas due to hateful beliefs. I would like to see Brough Commons renamed.	With all of this being said, I hope the University of Arkansas does more to acknowledge it's history with hateful views and racism. Having the statue in a museum opens up and opportunity to begin these discussions. Additionally, if Fulbright College was re-named after an Arkansan who reflects the values of the University, this can also be an opportunity to learn. I've been saying for years that Daisy Bates would be a much better name to be found on campus than Fulbright. I ask myself: who represents this institution of learning better? Fulbright represents segregation, the Southern Manifesto, hate, etc., while someone like Daisy Bates represents justice, equality, and perseverance.
Student	It hasn't, because I am white and privileged. However, it drastically affects our POC students (who will likely respond to this survey and explain in their own words).	I would prefer if he were not representing a large part of our campus. It's shameful to proudly display a man who's past looks the way his does.	Same as Fulbright. Yes. We should remove him entirely. Racism has no place here.	
Student	Knowing that his legacy is praised is a message to every Black student on campus that the university does not care about them.	His presence is a reminder of why UofA is known across the country as enablers of racism. Every Black student on campus feel unwanted and uncomfortable looking at the statue.	The presence of this mass murderer lets every incoming student know how the university squanders diversity and praises racism. Take his name down. Show students that the university has evolved past associating themselves with men like this.	
Student	Negatively. Learning about who he was cheapened my respect for the university.	Removing his presence would be a good start. Everyone I've talked to thinks the statue is racist and lame.	Having his presence on campus is equivalent to having a statue of a serial killer. It's disgusting.	
Staff	My students let me know how Fulbright's idolization makes them feel. It's fair to say that it is not something I share during dinner.	An eye sore.	He is a mass murderer. He is a disgrace to the university.	
Student	Not a ton, in a historical aspect	It's a disgrace that we would honor someone with such a blatantly terrible legacy, especially in an institution of higher ed.	We should remove his name and name it after a BIPOC. it may just be a dining hall, but it is a blemish on our history.	
Student	He should not be represented at the UofA	He should not be represented at the UofA	He should not be represented at the UofA	

Student	J William Fulbright,Ãs presence on campus has not affected me in many ways personally, but I am a white middle-upper class male so I have benefitted from the systems of power he helped sustain. I had to read his book for a class, and I am in the college named after him.	My thoughts on senator Fulbright,Ãs presence on campus are a bit conflicted. He is a major figure that influenced the world and had much to do with the U of A. He had horrible views that were mainstream to the racists in power at the time, views not shared by the thousands of black Americans in Arkansas and other minority groups he acted against, or those white people who did know better at the time. Fulbright is not an old enough figure for his Horrible actions and views to be ignored, MLK died and Fulbright was still against civil rights. He was in part responsible for the disenfranchisement of many Arkansans that are still alive, and creating conditions that keep those communities in poverty. I think the statue should be moved to a more private spot in a history of the U of A type of museum, with context for what he stood for. I think with the proper education and acknowledgment of his actions, his name could remain on campus. As of now, any context to the evilness of his character is not visible on campus, at least not to the extent his name is. Even with this I think his name should be removed from the college.	Brough,Ãs name needs to be removed from campus. Although Fulbright may have a different legacy depending on the individual asked, Brough is 100% evil. Not only are the moral issues with Brough reason enough to not want him to represent this diverse campus, there is also the issue of how this could look for the University. The Elaine massacre was on the same level as the Tulsa massacre, and both were covered up in a similar fashion. The Tulsa massacre is finally receiving the attention in the media it deserves, so imagine what will happen in 10 years when this massacre finally receives the attention it needs and it comes to light that the university did not remove it. Brough was a monster, and thankfully he is dead. The commons building should be named after Robert Lee Hill, the black union organizer whose organizing efforts caused racists to attempt to wipe out his community. Brough attempted to extradite him back to Arkansas where he would likely face the same fate as the 12 other survivors of the massacre that Brough put to death. It would be poetic justice to rename the building of a monster after the great community activist he tried and failed to kill.	Remove Brough entirely. Place a lot less emphasis on Brough.
Alumni	It has no impact on me directly.	<p>William Fulbright holds no special meaning or attachment for me, while it offends, hurts, or otherwise makes current students and alumni feel disrespected. Therefore, the obvious solution, in my view, is to change the name and remove the statue. Perhaps replace the statue with a sculpture by a black native Arkansan that evokes the unity, inclusion, and humanity we hope to see in all Razorbacks, past, present, and future. It would be both actionable change and symbolic change.</p> <p>But I also hope that with the change we don't erase the good that senator fulbright did, and his importance to the state. There should still be ample educational material on him and his complicated legacy, in the library and on the university's website for the public, and in classes where he is relevant to the content of the course (state history, poli-sci, international relations). Unfortunately, we cannot separate his faults from his good deeds, but that should not mean we refuse to acknowledge the good deeds he did. It just means that perhaps someone else is more deserving of the honor of having the college of</p>	I never knew who Brough was until today. But the same idea for William Fulbright:it does not mean anything to me, but it hurts others, and therefore should be removed. But since he was gave women in Arkansas the right to vote, why don't we rename it to honor a woman who impacted the state who we don't know much about because she was not rich or famous enough to get a building named after her? It's a great opportunity to inspire some future Razorback women with the story of a suffragette or the first female politician in the state legislature or the first female professor at the University! Let's acknowledge the women who worked hard to create change but that history has ignored or glossed over!	Let's not hide our complicated history and ignore people's accomplishments because of their faults. But let's also thoughtfully choose who is worthy of the honor of having a named presence on campus and why we believe they are worthy if that honor. Was it because they donated a lot of money (Jerry jones, sam walton)? Or was it because they made a positive impact on the state or university with what they did? Should we pick well known individuals or highlight those whose contributions to our community have been overlooked? These are all questions to consider before we attach a name to anything at Arkansas.

		arts and sciences named after them at this time in history. And if we do rename it, it should be someone who doesn't already have dozens of awards and buildings and schools named after them, but someone who was important to the state and to arts and sciences.		
Alumni	Other than seeing his statue in the center of campus I did not know much about him until recently. And that is on privilege.	The name of the college can change and no one would even notice. Y,Âall change the name of buildings all the time and people get used to it. There would be a class of students that will think it is weird, but everyone after will not even know the difference. There are too many good people from the U of A to continue to associate him with higher education. Leave the statue if people will be too up in arms about it (he was a big part of the university), but be sure to make a note that he was against education equality and was racist. That kind of looks bad as a university in the 21st century.	I have gone through 4+ years and never knew who or what Charles Brough did. I have too many fond memories of Brough Commons for it to continue to bear the name of a known racist.	There are too many good people from Arkansas to continue having racists represent our university, especially if you want to have a diverse university population.
Student	It hasn,Ât affected me personally, but he affects others that I associate with.	He is a symbol of racist mindsets that need to be erased from the University of Arkansas campus. The statue should be removed, the name of the college should be changed.	He caused a huge racial conflict and shouldn,Ât be a symbol of University of Arkansas. Remove his name.	
Student	Negatively.	<p>The University of Arkansas should not affiliate itself with racists and segregationists. The fact that this is even up for debate is shameful for the University and makes me regret choosing this school to be where I spend my tuition money.</p> <p>Remove Fulbright's name AND instruct students on the negative history of the school instead of hiding it. The statue can be used in a school or</p>	<p>The University of Arkansas should not affiliate itself with racists and segregationists. The fact that this is even up for debate is shameful for the University and makes me regret choosing this school to be where I spend my tuition money.</p> <p>Remove Brough's name.</p>	Honoring these two is shameful. While their names should not be forgotten, we shouldn't have statues of them or name our institutions after them. Statues, titles and dedications of anyone with a history of racism, sexism, misogyny, homophobia or overall bigotry should not be tolerated now or ever. This shouldn't be a debated upon option. Their names should be removed. Students, faculty and alumni should be taught that their school once honored and currently still honors hatred. Do more and do better, Chancellor.

		state museum but should include a plaque mentioning his wrongdoing.		
Student	negatively	we should not support racism and the ideas of segregation. remove the statue.	we should not support racism and the ideas of segregation. remove his name.	
Student	J William Fulbright is an idol of mine and being able to attend a school steeped in his legacy is point of great pride for me. I am an international studies student, and every aspect of my studies have been impacted by JWF. I have read his books and studied his history; in particularly hard times in my life and studies I have visited his grave to reflect on the legacy he created so that students like myself could lead our nation in the endeavor of a more perspicacious and responsible relationship with the world around us.	I vehemently support keeping JWF's presence on campus. Yes, he supported segregationist policies at some points in his career and yes, the concerns of many of our students on this topic should be recognized and addressed. However, I think to erase the nuance of our university's and our state's history and to ignore JWF's contributions would be to the great detriment of our university community.	I am not partial to Charles Brough and I believe that if students object to honoring him, then his name should be removed.	
Student	Fulbright was a segregationist who sponsored numerous anti-integration bills and fought to keep schools segregated, as well as fought the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Fulbright's actions were blatantly racist, for which there is no excuse. Senator Fulbright and his beliefs go against the beliefs of myself and numerous other students at the University of Arkansas, and we do not feel comfortable having such abundant representation of a man who fought for segregation. The University cannot be committed to diversity and inclusion while simultaneously supporting Senator Fulbright.	The Chancellor has issued several statements in which he has condemned racists acts that have happened on campus; however, knowing that the University continues to use Fulbright's image in the form of a statue as well as the name of the Arts and Sciences Department is a gross mockery of the discrimination African-American students have faced while attending the University. There is no place for a statue of a racist on campus, and the Fulbright College should be renamed. Fulbright's problematic history should be discussed in more detail in University Core history classes, assuring that all students gain an understanding of Fulbright's actions.	Charles Brough made extremely problematic and racist comments against African-Americans during his time as the governor of Arkansas, as well as perpetuating racial violence through the use of unnecessary military force. Like Senator Fulbright, Brough's name has no place at a University who is truly committed to promoting diversity and inclusion among its students and faculty.	
Student	I only recently become educated on who Fulbright was, but it saddens me that he represents our campus.	I believe the statue should be removed and the name should be changed to honor a more worthy historical figure (preferably one of diversity).	His name should be removed. He should not represent our university.	
Student	Badly. He makes me mad.	Bad. I don,Äôt like him.	Serial killer.	
Alumni	I, personally, did not know about him until leaving the university, but I was appalled to learn about the beliefs he carried. I am firmly against any likeness to him being celebrated.	He needs to be removed as soon as possible.	This man was, and should be remembered as, a horrible man and mass murderer. It,Äôs appalling he is memorialized on campus.	

Community member	My daughters don't like him.	My daughters say he's bad and that's enough for me. Take em down!	Don't know much about him, but he killed some people. Probably not good for the school to keep him around.	
Staff	He's a bad dude.	Makes me feel unwelcome when I come to work ngl	Don't know him	
Student	Just showed me another example of racism from y'all	Same energy as when sorority girls asked Black students if they'd be slaves for their Halloween costume	He's a racist killer.	
Student	My black girlfriend hates him so he must be bad.	He's a bad dude and makes the Black students feel bad when they have to see him praised and stuff.	He's a bad dude too.	
Student	Fulbright legacy is a complicated one, like most people in history. He did immense amounts for education and has many schools, programs, and other things dedicated to him. It's hard NOT to hear about William J. Fulbright. I went to Fulbright Junior High, there's a Fulbright dining hall right beside my dorm. The name and legacy isn't lost to me at all. However, his legacy also includes one of racism. One of deliberately pushing back against Civil Rights Acts in both 1964 and 1965. His legacy is one of trying to insure that people of color didn't get the rights they deserved as humans simply because of the color of their skin.	<p>I think it should be up to how people of color feel about the statue. As a while person, while his legacy affects me, it doesn't to the extent that it does the people who he wanted to take rights from. I think there should be a committee of people of color discussing the presence of the statue, how they feel, and what they believe should be done.</p> <p>Personally, I don't like what the statue represents and stands for. Because although he did positive things to impact our education system, it doesn't mean that we have to celebrate him in the ways that the university currently is. If the statue is to remain, it needs to be clear what he did. His legacy should be up to the viewer to decide how to view him. Not some of his legacy, all of it.</p>	<p>I think it should be removed. Besides being a governor, I don't know what his name being on the commons shows. Because what does it show? That the university is celebrating the governor whole killed his own constituents? That the university is memorializing a governor who stated that people of color were rising up to overtake white people?</p> <p>I think his name should be removed. I see no complicated legacy that needs further discussing, like in the case of William J. Fulbright. Charles Brough does not deserve to be memorialized or celebrated for the actions he committed.</p>	

Student	<p>As a politically-minded individual growing up in Arkansas I have always been interested in the politics of the mid 20th century and the great men that have represented us in the US Senate. I am a fifth-generation Arkansan and only the second member of my family to attend the University of Arkansas. I am the first to attend the J. William Fulbright Colleges of Arts and Sciences. I am proud to attend a college named after a man who is known worldwide as a man of peace and honor. As a student of history, I firmly believe that if it wasn't for the efforts of Senator Fulbright, mankind would not exist as it does today. Most historians and political scientists agree that the United Nations, which Senator Fulbright played a role in creating, has fostered a relative era of peace on this planet. His efforts in international cooperation in a post-war world have helped us avoid what seemed like the inevitable war between the US and the USSR. My father graduated high school in 1969, at the height of the Vietnam War draft. Thankfully, unlike many Arkansans in his economic position, he was able to attend college and stay out of the draft. My family will be eternally grateful to Senator Fulbright for his efforts that I believe helped end the Vietnam War before my father graduated college and became eligible for the draft again. Americans of all races and religions owe a great deal to Bill Fulbright. Because of this, I will always be proud to say I received my Bachelor of the Arts from the J. William Fulbright College of Arts and Sciences.</p>	<p>Senator Fulbright belongs on the University of Arkansas campus. He is possibly the most well known University of Arkansas graduate ever. Senator Fulbright paved the way for Arkansas politicians to enjoy the national spotlight and make a difference for the often ignored citizens of our great state. Senator Bumpers, Senator Pryor, and President Clinton all owe their successes in national politics to Senator Fulbright and the good work he did during his almost 30 years in the Senate. I believe that the most powerful symbol on this campus is the Fulbright peace fountain that Senator Fulbright looks to for eternity from his perch behind Old Main. This is a symbol to the entire world that the people of the state of Arkansas are a people that believe in peace, honor, and justice. Unlike other university campuses across the country, the campus of the University of Arkansas is not only for the students and faculty but for every citizen of our state. It stands on the top of a hill as a monument to the great contributions that the state of Arkansas has made to the world. I have noticed during my time here that it is often difficult for out of state students and even faculty who did not grow up in Arkansas to realize this. I, along with the majority of Arkansans, believe that Senator Fulbright's statue should remain and his name should grace the college of arts and sciences for eternity. The people of the state of Arkansas owe a great deal to Senator Fulbright and we will not allow for his legacy to be erased by individuals from out of state that do not understand Arkansas as well as her native sons and daughters. I believe that more context of Fulbrights history should be taught in our classes. Specifically, I would like to see all out of state students and faculty be required to take an Arkansas history class like the one that is required to graduate from many Arkansas high schools. Too many people from out of state are simply tourists in our state for a time as they use our publicly funded University to work and study before they move on to other states. The least these people can do is show their respect for Arkansans by understanding our complex history.</p>	<p>Governor Charles Brough's name should remain on the University of Arkansas's campus. Without Governor Brough, the University of Arkansas would not exist. Like Senator Fulbright, Governor Brough was a liberal Democrat. Under governor Brough, Arkansas became the only southern state to grant women the right to vote before the passage of the 19th amendment. In addition to this, Governor Brough openly supported anti-lynching laws. If we started going about renaming everything named after those whose political beliefs didn't fit into our 21st-century view of the world, half the counties in Arkansas would have to be renamed. Indeed the very county we are in right now would have to be renamed for President Washington surely had much more extreme views on race than Charles Brough.</p>	<p>I believe that the University is being quite hypocritical in the push to rename portions of the campus dedicated to the honor of men that would be considered "racists" in today's culture. Silas Hunt, the first African American to attend this school, has been relegated to a rarely visited corner of the campus. The nine students of my alma mater, Little Rock Central, that paved the way for the desegregation of Arkansas schools are not even mentioned on campus. Outside of English literature classes, one never hears the words of great black Arkansas writers such as Maya Angelou. The university should be doing more to emphasize the great contributions of black Arkansans that trying to erase the history of Arkansans whose politics do not fit our modern understanding of race relations.</p>
---------	--	--	---	--

Other			<p>The Elaine Legacy Center would like to recommend to the UA committee that Charles Brough Commons be renamed Frank Moore Commons.</p> <p>Frank Moore is all too rarely honored for his role in the "Moore vs Dempsey" Supreme Court case rendered on February 19, 1923 by Oliver Wendell Holms.</p> <p>As we near the 100th anniversary of this ruling that states must honor the US Bill of Rights, books and media productions will lift up his role. This is the perfect time for the University of Arkansas to take the lead in honoring him. It is this successful decision that warrants Elaine to be known as "Motherland of Civil Rights" and Arkansas as the home of the motherland.</p> <p>In discussing our recommendation, we also wanted to offer an alternate name: The Elaine 12 Commons. Frank Moore was the one of the Elaine 12 for whom the case was named but Governor Brough sent them all to death row.</p> <p>We would be glad to meet via zoom if you have any questions. We appreciate your awareness of the importance of "naming" as we move toward an equitable and just society.</p> <p>The Elaine Legacy Center Board</p> <p>Lenora Marshall Bertha Glasgow James White Faye Duncan Daniel Edlun Marshall William Quiney III Mary Olson ,</p>	
-------	--	--	---	--

Other			I am writing to you in support of the Elaine Legacy Centers recommendation to change the name of the Charles Brough Commons to the Frank Moore Commons. Peace,DonDonald E. Voth, Ph. D.Professor of Rural Sociology, EmeritusUniversity of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR4323 Balcon Ct., NWAlbuquerque, NM 87120	
Alumni		He was a racist white supremacist, therefore a statue of him symbolizes hate.		
Community member	While his legacy hasn't directly impacted me, it has very negatively impacted people of color and it makes me disappointed that he is representing the UofA.	I think that someone who was like that should not represent the University. His statue should be removed, the name should change, and his history should be taught to educate people on what he did.	In my opinion, Charles Brough's name should be removed.	The men mentioned in these questions were not good people, they were responsible for segregation and oppression of people of color in Arkansas and America and they should not be representing the University of Arkansas. Thank you for considering these things.
Student	I feel that, as he was a strict segregationist, Senator J. William Fulbright stood in direct opposition to progress in society as an opponent of fairness and equality.	I do not believe that the University of Arkansas should continue to have a college named after a racist, nor do I believe that we ought to have a statue in his honor. I think that the J. William Fulbright college of Arts and Sciences should have its name changed, and that his statue should be removed and replaced by a more positive figure (possibly Silas Hunt).	While Governor Charles Brough did have some more progressive views, including signing a bill allowing women to vote in primary elections prior to the passage of the 19th amendment (the only southern state to do so) and publicly supporting anti-lynching laws, his actions surrounding the Elaine Race Riots (which resulted in the indiscriminate killing of 237 black residents) are such that I do not believe that the University of Arkansas should continue to have a building dedicated to his name.	I hope that this committee will consider these points carefully and will do their best to ensure that the University of Arkansas is promoting a supportive and inclusive environment for all students, faculty, and anyone who visits our great school. Thank you for your time.
Student	It has created a discriminatory environment by continuing to honor his legacy of racism	I think we have move past the time where he needs to be honored on campus. His legacy is just creating an uninclusive environment that is prohibiting us from growing	I don't feel comfortable having Charles Brough's legacy represented on campus	
Student	As a student of the Fulbright college it is very shameful to be a part of something honoring this man.	Although we can't change history I think we can change the ways he is honored throughout campus. I think the statue should be removed and his name should be removed from the college.	I think we should change it	Consider what the university should represent.

Student	<p>J. William Fulbright,Â’s legacy, luckily I might add, has never affected me. At birth, I was bequeathed the privilege of being born with very little melanin, and I am practically as white as flour. However, his legacy does have an impact on those who see his name, his statue, and know that he actively advocated against their rights. His legacy has an impact on the POC students and faculty that learn and live and teach on campus. What kind of message is the U of A projecting when we continue to celebrate an individual who voted against integration? Who was part of the active force to stop it? Luckily, being white, I,Â’ve never had to look at a building or a statue and know that the person that it celebrates, or memorializes, would have hated the fact that I was there. What kind of message is the U of A sending by the continual celebration of J. William Fulbright, who was undeniably, horrifically racist? William Fulbright does not have an affect on me, besides the fact that he makes me sick, but he does have a profound affect on the black, brown, and non-white students of the University of Arkansas.</p>	<p>Personally, I am sickened by the possibility that I might one day have a diploma saying that I got my education from a school named after a segregationist. I think it is despicable that it is 2020, and we are just now considering the fact that Fulbright,Â’s legacy maybe isn,Â’t one to memorialize. He signed the southern manifesto and actively filibustered against civil rights legislation. I think the statue of him needs to be removed from in front of Old Main, and placed in a prominent part of the Arts and Sciences building. For I think everyone needs to be aware of the fact the building used to be named after him. There needs to be a plaque talking about his horrific record concerning civil rights, and saying how long the building was named after him and in what year it was changed. As far as to what to change it to, I also have some suggestions. I believe that the arts and sciences building needs to be renamed after a female, person of color who was influential in Arkansas history. Some suggestions are Daisy Gatson Bates, who played a key role in desegregation in Arkansas, and advocated for the Little Rock Nine. Or possibly, Raye Jean Jordan Montague, who wanted to study engineering, but due to segregation, had to earn a business degree instead. She still went on to become a computer programmer in the US navy. I implore you to take this opportunity to celebrate someone who is worthy of memorialization. Who advocated for black people in Arkansas, and who fought back against adversity, still managing to rise. It is a shame that we have chosen to celebrate a white, racist man. But, you the committee can still correct this egregious error, and name the college of arts and sciences after a person of color, and a woman.</p>	<p>Yes. Remove Charles Brough,Â’s name from the Commons. He was the 25th governor of Arkansas, and when sharecroppers were forming a union to organize for better working conditions, he sent in members of the military to disband them. Along with an angry mob, those individuals, acting under Brough,Â’s orders murdered over 200 black individuals. And, as the icing on the cake, he further sent 75 of the sharecroppers to prison. Why are we still having this discussion? We need to admit our mistakes, and correct it. I am a big proponent of adapting or dying. The U of A either needs to adapt rapidly, or it will gain a reputation that is very unflattering.</p>	<p>Personally, I think it is horrific that it has taken this long for people to realize that celebrating these racist individuals, who deplored segregation, is wrong.</p>
Student	<p>Only in the ways of being reminded of it everyday I'm on campus.</p>	<p>The statue should be removed and the college should be renamed with context.</p>	<p>We should remove his name from the Charles Brough Commons.</p>	
Alumni	<p>J. William Fulbright's legacy as a staunch white supremacist has impacted me and my fellow Arkansans by making our state less safe and equitable for people of color. He opposed equal rights and desegregation. It would improve the reputation of our school and state to repudiate his legacy. I am embarrassed that his name is on my diploma.</p>	<p>The name of the school should be changed. The statue should be removed. The university should address and teach the state's true history, and apologize for having glorified a white supremacist who tarnishes our national reputation.</p>	<p>Charles Brough's name should be removed from the Commons. He was a terrible racist as our governor and shouldn't be glorified.</p>	<p>As an Arkansan and Uark graduate, I urge you to remove the Fulbright statue, and to remove the names Fulbright and Brough from all university-affiliated institutions and buildings. As graduates and Arkansans, we are already constantly having to prove our worth due to the perceptions of the state as racist and backward. The least the University can do is to stop glorifying people who were notably racist.</p>

Community member			His encyclopedia of Arkansas entry speaks for itself. Brough represents our dark racist past and I think its gross to ask Black students to eat in the Brough Commons	
Student	His legacy in America will always be a divisive one that upheld the ideas of Jim Crow and systemic racism. It has affected many of my peers and it still helps perpetuate a racist sentiment at this school as can be seen by the actions of some of our students. For example the Black Lives Matter mural was defaced a while ago along with over the summer when two fraternity students made jokes about the murder of George Floyd. His legacy has impacted far more people than just me.	The use of his name and likeness on campus gives those who wish harm on their peers a sense of support from the University as he is revered by all the plaques commemorating him.	Despite his support for Women's Suffrage in Arkansas, his story is also one of terrible atrocities against black people in our state. We should not commemorate those who have done horrible acts, even if they made benefits elsewhere. Rather we should revere those who fought for everyone in our nation, those who faced great adversity from the government and those around them and yet they still made our nation better nonetheless.	We need to focus less on those who supported our school financially, and focus on those who helped everyone in our state no matter their race, religion, gender, or creed.
Alumni	He is a racist.	He is a racist. Get rid of him.	He is a racist.	
Student	Racist segregationists should not be commended. His legacy is not something to be proud of. The statue should be removed and the college should be renamed.	As a white person, I did not have any clue who J. William Fulbright was or what he stood for. It was not until other students (mostly of color) vocalized their dissent about his presence that I realized how problematic it was. The memorialization of this man on campus is unacceptable. None of his standards or beliefs align with the university - why should someone so blatantly racist be affiliated with our college? The University claims to be diverse and equality-driven, but commemorating this senator with a statue and college says otherwise. The statue should be removed and the college should be renamed.	Again, for the University to be truly diverse and equality-driven, there should be no place for past or present racist people. I hope that the committee chooses to be on the right side of history and makes the right decision regarding these problematic men.	
Community member	I am not a person of color, but I recognize how Fulbright's racist past negatively impacts my neighbors of color	The U of A should not have a monument to a product of the jim crow south.	Brough was complicit in the murder of 200 black arkansans. He should not be present on campus.	The U of A has an opportunity to be a role model in the community. Get rid of the racist past and look to the future.
Student	I am a white woman, so his actions have never personally affected me, however, going to a PWC and having black friends here, it DOES affect them.	We should not have a racist representing our school. Period. This should not be up for debate. This man is not fit to represent anything. Let's name the college to the name of any BIPOC who has positively impacted Arkansas or even the nation. We should fully remove the statue. Fulbright should not be seen as a positive symbol, and his deplorable actions should be taught to make it known he was not a good individual.	Same as above. We should not have such a disgusting person representing our campus, state, or country in any shape or form.	We have to send a message to the chancellor and board. If they don't want to listen we have to make them listen. Peaceful protests on campus, art around campus speaking of his disgusting action. We will not stop until this is removed.

Alumni	Fulbright's legacy as a supporter of systemically racist policies have impacted me in a number of ways, all of them negative. As both a queer and indigenous individual, I am painfully aware that Fulbright's support for segregation and resistance to the Voting Rights Act mean that he would have preferred that I not have the right to vote, or even the right to attend university alongside my more privileged white peers.	I am proud to have graduated from the U of A's College of Arts and Sciences with a class of diverse, thoughtful, and empathetic peers. The thing I am least proud of is to have graduated from a college named after a white supremacist. While teaching more of Fulbright's history in context would be beneficial for the campus community at large, the name of the college should also be changed, and the statue removed.	Charles Brough's shameful role in the Elaine Massacre of 1919 is more than enough cause to remove his name from the campus dining hall. Brough directly facilitated the deaths of at least 200 Black Arkansans, and imprisoned dozens more after denying any responsibility in the attacks. Brough's place on campus tarnishes the U of A's reputation and does little to make marginalized students feel safe; in fact, it does the opposite.	
Community member	It impacts my peers, friends, and students of the college. They should not have to attend a school that upholds and celebrates a man so against the values we hold as an accepting, equitable society.	The statue should be removed, the college should be renamed, and no - his history doesn't deserve to be taught in classes. There are more honorable and deserving voices to be heard.	Remove his name from the Commons.	
Student	Until my arrival at U of A as a transfer student I was unaware of his segregationist past.	I find it rather ironic that a school that is diverse. Is named after a segreationalist. I 100% agree that his entirely legacy should be taught in class. You cannot justify his behaviors by a few contributions. The college should be renamed there are plenty of other pioneers without a controversial past.	It should be removed from the commons.If the school wants to represent and advocate for diversity then their actions should reflect that.	
Student	I,Am currently a student at the Fulbright college.	I think that it,Is inappropriate to have a college named for after a racist man. What does it say about the university that we are naming buildings after people who believed that black people should be separate from white people. By having a college named after him we are admitting that in our core we are a racist university.	While Brough is a part of our history, I think that this is the wrong way of remembering him. He was also a deeply racist man. Instead of naming buildings after racist men, why don't we teach about them. Why aren't we learning about our history so we don't repeat it. Not only does naming buildings after them push the narrative that we are racist, it makes people think that it,Is ok to act that way. I have heard so much from people of color how they were scared to leave their rooms after the election was called because they didn't know if it was going to be safe for them. The first step in getting rid of systemic racism is getting rid of buildings and statues named for slave owners, or people who caught against the civil rights movement.	
Community member	As a citizen of Fayetteville, it deeply pains me to see a prestigious institution such as the University of Arkansas honor and commemorate a formerly proud segregationist and blatant racist. Fulbright,Is values are not Arkansas,Is values, and thus should not be emphasized by the state,Is,Is institutions. America has progressed and improved and it is time for you to improve.	The mere presence of Fulbright on campus is a horrific and violent disservice to the minority population on the University of Arkansas,Is campus. The Black community must witness a celebrated segregationist when they set foot on campus in the midst of a national, racial divide. Tradition does not outweigh morality. Tradition does not outweigh equality. Remove the statue and rename the school. Expose Fulbright for his	Brough did not persecute any white man during the Elaine Massacre. Not a single white man came out with a sentence. Instead, more than 200 Black people were murdered on site at a union meeting. More than 1,000 white people participated in the killing, traveling from counties and from different states. Innocent Black people were put in jail. Brough stood silent during the massacre. However, as a passionate advocate for women,Is suffrage, one cannot pick	

		grotesque misuse of his government position, and end the charade of celebration now.	and choose when to be progressive. There ought to be better options for the Commons.	
Community member		It's uncomfortable and a bit angering. We shouldn't be glorifying or giving honor to a racist man who was for segregation. The statue should be replaced with something more inclusive and happy. Not something that reminds us of suffrage, how he didn't want us on campus. They should continue to teach about him, but no longer glorify him.		
Student	For me I am appalled that someone so outwardly racist has statues built to him. We should not forget the past, but we should not glorify and build statues to the people that wanted to dehumanize the black race. It hurts me to see a place that preaches inclusivity and diversity make monuments out of monsters.	It,Âs disgusting. The statue should be removed. The college of arts and sciences should be renamed. It is a disgrace to keep things so easy to change unmoved when they represents a legacy of hatred. The university of Arkansas is better than the person who wanted to keep schools segregated and opposed the civil rights act. Will it end racism? Of course not. But it will show the racists that someone who represents their beliefs will not be tolerated here. Rename the arts and science college. Remove the statue.	Remove his name immediately. Why should racists like him who cover up murder with ,Ãúcommunist uprising,Ã get to have a place with such delicious food options named after him. I do not want to be enjoying a fantastic meal and remember the place I,Ãm eating it in is named after someone who was directly responsible for the murder of over 200 black farmers. Remove the name	Stop honoring the racists
Student	he,Ãs a racist	racist		
Student	J. William Fulbright's legacy does not impact me personally, and that is part of my privilege as a white woman. However, it impacts the everyday lives of my close friends, which therefore makes it my problem. If his legacy is offensive to those I care about, then it affects me.	I want to do everything in my power to support my friends and their demands, therefore I think the statue should be removed.	I think Brough commons could easily be named. So many people don't even know who Charles Brough was or that our dining hall is named after such a man and it is important to make them aware and change moving forward.	

Student	Being a white student, Fulbright has not quite directly affected me, but, as a supporter of segregation, he impacted many lives during his time in power.	I do not think that his name is worthy of the amazing advancements being made at the university, and I think that his legacy is one that alienates many students, faculty, and community members given his support of the Southern Manifesto, which included segregation of schools. I suggest that the college of arts and sciences be renamed to support these advancements and the growing diversity on campus; a suggestion would be Gordon Morgan who was the first black professor at the university, in the sociology department at that, and whom passed away almost a year ago. I would also suggest that his statue be removed for the same reasons. If the college is not to be renamed and Fulbright's legacy detached from it; I would suggest that, at the very least, freshmen perspectives classes in the college of arts and sciences acknowledge his legacy fully.	I believe that Charles Brough's name should be removed from the Charles Brough Commons due to his covering up of the Elaine Massacre. Brough personally led federal troops into the area who then murdered several African Americans, and twelve of the victims of the race massacre who were captured by those federal troops were put to death while he was governor. Someone with this legacy has no place at the university.	
Alumni			Remove!	
Student	it hasn,Äôt	I think it should be taken down because while his legacy was not harmful to me, it was to others.	it should be taken down	
Student		Remove the statue, change the name, and teach students about his racist legacy.		
Other	Legacy? He was a racist. Legacy has a bit of a positive connotation that I don,Äôt believe works with what the man did in his lifetime.	The statue should go. A man such as he should not be honored on a college campus with a statue.		
Alumni	His legacy has not impacted me in anyway that I,Äôm aware of. It may have, through many forms, yet I am still unaware of how.	The record shows he filibustered the civil rights act. This alone shows he was adamant in segregationist policy and did not see a vision of American people as a whole, regardless of their skin color. His vision was shallow, and in turn harmful, for the growth of our nation and humanity as one. As forward thinkers and intellectuals, which I hope we consider ourselves, let,Äôs look to other great Arkansans to honor the diversity of this institution in regards to statues and buildings.	Like full right, we should look to change our honors to those that fought, or currently fight, for all humans. Remove his name and replace with someone who can be looked up to in this day and age.	
Student	Negatively. It makes me wish I didn,Äôt go here.	Please remove him. Please show me you care about me and your other Black students. I feel so uncomfortable with him here.	I am nauseous just thinking about it. Please remove this horrible serial killer.	

Student	I am a Fulbright Student.	I don't like it. He was a very blatant racist; the fact that we still glorify his legacy today upholds ideals of white supremacy and communicates to our Black students that our university doesn't see his beliefs as harmful, or rather see his beliefs as dismissible. This makes our Black colleagues feel disregarded and that their right to equity and belonging is second to Fulbright's achievements.	Same as my feelings on Fulbright. Keeping his name tied to our organization upholds ideals of white supremacy and disregards the humanity of our Black students.	Surely different names can be found that don't belong white supremacists/racists. Their actions are not dismissible or ignorable.
Student	I wasn't aware of who he was or what he stood for until the past 6 months.	His presence should be removed. Remove the statue and rename the college.	Remove his name	
Student				
Alumni		Hello! I called Arkansas Alumni Association to ask what the status was on the Fulbright statue on UA campus. Apparently, it's not being ignored, which was good news to me. As a retired FPS teacher and a UA Alumni, I'd like to see an honest representation of his legacy added. I taught Arkansas the last 8 years before my retirement, and my students learned about the "Southern Manifesto" when we studied the Little Rock Central Crisis. UA students who learned this already know he was a segregationist during this era. What we didn't cover in our curriculum was the fact that he did not sign either the Civil Rights Act or the Voting Rights Act as well. Fulbright did many incredible things in his terms in office, and is definitely worthy of a statue and recognition for it. Among his accomplishments was the start of foreign student exchange programs and promoting world peace, and he stood up against Mccarthyism and the Vietnam War. I have no problem with the statue placement on campus, but as an educator...and as a college campus, we should be honest and factual. He has many accolades, along with his "shortcomings" or "mistakes" in his civil rights views (that he may have later "evolved" on), but he was also an outstanding state and national leader. I hope the committee will reach a decision that respects our students, respects our past, and respects the future knowledge of our students. Thanks, Denice Nelson		